

Fuzzy geometric spaces associated to fuzzy hyperrings

R. Ameri^a, M. Asghari-Larimi^{b,*} and N. Firouzkouhi^b

^a*Department of Mathematics, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran*

^b*Department of Mathematics, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran*

Abstract. For given two families $\widetilde{B}_R, \widetilde{B}_C$ of fuzzy subsets of a fuzzy hyperring R , we obtain some sufficient conditions such that two fuzzy geometric spaces $(\Delta, \widetilde{B}_R), (\Delta, \widetilde{B}_C)$ are strongly transitive and Δ be a nonzero fuzzy subset of R . Moreover, we show that the relation α and Γ on a fuzzy hyperfield R are transitive and obtain some related basic results.

Keywords: Fuzzy geometric spaces, fuzzy hyperring, fuzzy hyperfield, strongly transitive

1. Introduction

Fuzzy hyperstructure is an interesting research topic of fuzzy subsets. A hyperoperation assigns a subset of H to every pair of elements of H , that is defined by Marty in [18] as a generalization of a group, while a fuzzy hyperoperation assigns a fuzzy subset of H to every pair of elements of H . This idea was introduced by Corsini and Tofan [10] and studied by Serafimidis, Kehagias and Konstantinidou [22] and they obtained interesting properties in connections with an important hyperstructure, called an interesting paper concerning the join spaces. Recently, Sen, Ameri and Chowdhury introduced and analyzed fuzzy hypersemigroups in [21]. Afterwards these ideas extended to fuzzy hyperrings and fuzzy hypermodules by Leoreanu and Davvaz in [16, 17]. A geometric space is a pair (S, B) such that S is a nonempty set and B is a nonempty family of subsets of S , that are called points and blocks. This concept was initiated by D. Freni in [12] and he investigated some results in order to connection between

geometric spaces and its structures. Also D. Freni indicated that the relation β defined by Koskas [15] (studied mainly by Corsini [8] and Vougiouklis [24]) is transitive in hypergroups. In [4] Anvariye and Davvaz introduced the concept of strongly transitive geometric spaces associated to hypermodules. In [19] Mirvakili and Davvaz studied on strongly transitive geometric spaces: applications to hyperrings. The concept of fuzzy geometric space was introduced by Ameri et.al. in [3] and they investigate some important structures and relationships between them. In this paper we follow [3], and introduce transitive and strongly transitive relations on a given fuzzy geometric space and obtain some related basic results. In particular, we prove that the fuzzy geometric space associated to a hyperfield is strongly transitive.

2. Preliminaries

A *hypergroupoid* (H, \circ) is a non-empty set H equipped with a hyperoperation \circ , that is a map $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$, where $P^*(H)$ denotes the family of all non-empty subset of H . If $x, y \in H$, we will denote by $x \circ y$ the hyperproduct of x and y .

*Corresponding author. M. Asghari-Larimi, Department of Mathematics, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran. Tel: +989111292 550; E-mail: asghari2004@yahoo.com.

A hypergroupoid (H, \circ) is said to be *semi-hypergroup* if $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$, for all $x, y, z \in H$. A hypergroup is a semi hypergroup (H, \circ) such that $x \circ H = H \circ x = H$, for all $x \in H$ (this condition is called *reproducibility*).

A non-empty set K of a hypergroup H is a *subhypergroup* of H if $x \circ K = K \circ x = K$, for every $x \in K$.

Definition 2.1. [21] Let S be a non-empty set and $F(S)$ denotes the set of all fuzzy subset of S . A *fuzzy hyperoperation* on S is the mapping $\oplus : S \times S \rightarrow F(S)$ written as $(a, b) \mapsto a \oplus b$. S together with a fuzzy hyperoperation \oplus is called *fuzzy hypergroupoid*. A fuzzy hypergroupoid (S, \oplus) is called a *fuzzy hypersemigroup*, if for all a, b, c of S we have $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$, where for any fuzzy subset μ of $F(S)$

$$(a \oplus \mu)(r) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{t \in S} ((a \oplus t)(r) \wedge \mu(t)), & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\mu \oplus a)(r) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{t \in S} (\mu(t) \wedge (t \oplus a)(r)), & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $r \in S$.

Let μ, ν be two fuzzy subset of a fuzzy hypergroupoid (S, \oplus) , then we define $(\mu \oplus \nu)(t) = \bigvee_{p, q \in S} (\mu(p) \wedge (p \oplus q)(t) \wedge \nu(q))$, for all $t \in S$. A fuzzy hypersemigroup (S, \oplus) is called a *fuzzy hypergroup*, if $x \oplus S = S \oplus x = \chi_S$, for all $x \in S$, that is called *reproducibility axiom*.

Definition 2.2. [21] If (S, \oplus) be a fuzzy hypergroup, by reproducibility axiom, for every $x \in S$ there exists a pair (a, b) of elements of S such that $(a \oplus b)(x) > 0$.

Definition 2.3. [16] A fuzzy hyperring is a multi-valued system (R, \oplus, \otimes) which satisfies the following axioms:

- (1) $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$, for all $a, b, c \in R$,
- (2) $x \oplus R = R \oplus x = \chi_R$, for all $x \in R$,
- (3) $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$, for all $a, b \in R$,
- (4) $a \otimes (b \otimes c) = (a \otimes b) \otimes c$, for all $a, b, c \in R$,
- (5) The multiplication is distributive with respect to the fuzzy hyperoperation \oplus . i.e., $a \otimes (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes b) \oplus (a \otimes c)$, for all $a, b, c \in R$.

Definition 2.4. [17] Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring and (M, \oplus) be a commutative fuzzy hypergroup.

M is said to be a fuzzy hypermodule over a fuzzy hyperring R , if there exists:

$$\odot : R \times M \rightarrow F^*(M); (a, m) \mapsto a \odot m,$$

such that for all $a, b \in M$ and $m_1, m_2, m \in M$, we have

- (1) $a \odot (m_1 \oplus m_2) = (a \odot m_1) \oplus (a \odot m_2)$
- (2) $(a \oplus b) \odot m = (a \odot m) \oplus (b \odot m)$,
- (3) $(a \otimes b) \odot m = a \odot (b \odot m)$.

Definition 2.5. [21] Let ρ be an equivalence relation on a fuzzy hypersemigroup (S, \oplus) and let μ, ν be two fuzzy subset on (S, \oplus) . If $\mu(a) > 0$ implies there exists $b \in S$ such that $\nu(b) > 0$ and $a \rho b$, and if $\nu(x) > 0$ implies there exists $y \in S$ such that $\mu(y) > 0$ and $x \rho y$, then we say that $\mu \bar{\rho} \nu$. Also, $\mu \bar{\rho} \nu$, if for all $x \in S$ such that $\mu(x) > 0$ and for all $y \in S$ such that $\nu(y) > 0$, we have $x \rho y$.

Definition 2.6. [3] Let S is a nonempty set. A *fuzzy geometric space* is a pair (Δ, B) such that Δ is a nonzero fuzzy subset of S and B is a nonempty family of fuzzy subsets of S such that $\nu \leq \Delta$, for all $\nu \in B$, whose elements we called fuzzy blocks.

Remark 2.7. [3] B is a covering of Δ if $\Delta \leq \bigvee_{\nu \in B} \nu$.

Definition 2.8. [3] If $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n$ are fuzzy blocks of a fuzzy geometric space (Δ, B) such that $\nu_i \wedge \nu_{i+1} > 0$, for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, then the n -tuple $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ is called a *fuzzy polygonal* of (Δ, B) . The concept of fuzzy polygonal allows us to define on S the following relation:

$$x \approx y \Leftrightarrow x = y \text{ or } \exists (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n); \nu_1(x) > 0, \nu_n(y) > 0,$$

where $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ is a fuzzy polygonal. The relation \approx is an equivalence and it is coincides with the transitive closure of the following relation:

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow x = y \text{ or } \exists \nu \in B; \nu(x) > 0, \nu(y) > 0,$$

so \approx is equal to $\approx = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \sim^n$, where $\sim^n = \sim \circ \sim \circ \dots \circ \sim$ n times.

If B is a covering of Δ , the relation \sim and \approx is defined in the following simpler way:

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \exists \nu \in B; \nu(x) > 0, \nu(y) > 0,$$

$$x \approx y \Leftrightarrow \exists (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n); v_1(x) > 0, v_n(y) > 0.$$

Theorem 2.9. [3] A fuzzy geometric space (Δ, B) is strongly transitive, if the family B is a fuzzy cover of Δ , and the following condition is satisfied.

For every pair (μ, ν) of fuzzy blocks of a fuzzy geometric space (Δ, B) and for any $n \in N$:

$$\mu \wedge \nu > 0, \nu(x) > 0 \implies \exists \eta \in B, 0 < \alpha \leq 1; (\mu \vee x_\alpha) \leq \eta.$$

Theorem 2.10. [3] If (Δ, B) is a strongly transitive fuzzy geometric space, then the relation \sim on S is transitive, thus $\sim \approx \sim$.

3. Strongly transitive fuzzy geometric spaces

Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring and $x_{ij} \in R$ be elements of R . If $\sigma \in S_n$, then the fuzzy hypersums and hyperproducts of the elements x_{ij} respecting is denoted $\widetilde{\sum}_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\prod}_{j=1}^{k_i} x_{ij}$. Denote by S_n is the symmetric group of all permutations of the set $1, 2, \dots, n$ in this order. Using this notations we define for every $n \in N \cup \{0\}$, $k_i \in N \cup \{0\}$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, k_i$, we set:

$$\widetilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) = \widetilde{\sum}_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\prod}_{j=1}^{k_i} x_{ij}$$

We can consider the fuzzy geometric space $(\Delta, \widetilde{B}_R)$ whose Δ is a nonzero fuzzy subset of R and fuzzy blocks are the fuzzy hypersums of hyperproducts of elements of R . Also, we can consider another fuzzy geometric space $(\Delta, \widetilde{B}_C)$ whose Δ is a nonzero fuzzy subset of R and fuzzy blocks are the supremum of all fuzzy hypersums of hyperproducts obtained by permuting in the following possible ways:
for every $n \in N \cup \{0\}$, $k_i \in N \cup \{0\}$ and $x_{ij} \in R$ where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, k_i$, we set:

$$\widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) = \bigvee \{ \widetilde{\sum}_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\prod}_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma(i)(j)} \mid \sigma \in S_n, \sigma_i \in S_{k_i} \}.$$

We can consider the fuzzy geometric space $(\Delta, FP_*(R))$. By $FP_*(R)$ we mean the set of all fuzzy finite hypersums of hyperproducts of elements of R , that is a typical elements of $FP_*(R)$ is the form $(x_{11} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{1k_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus (x_{n1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{nk_n})$, $n \in N$.

Notation 3.1. For $x_{ij} \in R$, $\mu \in FP_*(R)$ we note $x_{ij} \in \mu \Leftrightarrow \chi_{x_{ij}} \leq \mu \Leftrightarrow \mu(x_{ij}) = 1$.

Lemma 3.2. Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring. Then

$$(1) \quad \widetilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \leq \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]).$$

(2) Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) = \\ \bigvee \{ \widetilde{B}_R([x_{\sigma(1)\sigma(1)(1)}^{\sigma(1)\sigma(1)(k_{\sigma(1)})}], \dots, \\ [x_{\sigma(n)\sigma(n)(1)}^{\sigma(n)\sigma(n)(k_{\sigma(n)})}] \mid \sigma \in S_n, \sigma_i \in S_{k_i} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.3. Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring. Then for every $y \in R$ we have

$$(1) \quad \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \oplus y = \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}], [y]).$$

$$(2) \quad y \oplus \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) = \widetilde{B}_C([y], [x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]).$$

$$(3) \quad \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \otimes y = \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}, y], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}, y]).$$

$$(4) \quad y \otimes \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) = \widetilde{B}_C([y, x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [y, x_{n1}^{nk_n}]).$$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \oplus \\ \widetilde{B}_C([y_{11}^{1l_1}], \dots, [y_{m1}^{ml_m}]) = \\ \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}], [y_{11}^{1l_1}], \dots, [y_{m1}^{ml_m}]). \end{aligned}$$

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \otimes \\ \widetilde{B}_C([y_{11}^{1l_1}], \dots, [y_{m1}^{ml_m}]) = \widetilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}, y_{11}^{1l_1}], \\ \dots, [x_{11}^{1k_1}, y_{m1}^{ml_m}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}, y_{11}^{1l_1}], \dots \\ , [x_{n1}^{nk_n}, y_{m1}^{ml_m}]). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is straightforward.

Lemma 3.4. Lemma 3.3 is true for the fuzzy geometric space (Δ, \tilde{B}_R) .

Lemma 3.5. Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring. Then for every $\sigma \in S_n$ and $\sigma_i \in S_{k_i}$ we have

$$\tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) = \tilde{B}_C([x_{\sigma(1)\sigma_{\sigma(1)}(1)}^{\sigma(1)\sigma_{\sigma(1)}(k_{\sigma(1)})}], \dots, [x_{\sigma(n)\sigma_{\sigma(n)}(1)}^{\sigma(n)\sigma_{\sigma(n)}(k_{\sigma(n)})}])$$

Moreover, if (R, \oplus, \otimes) is a commutative fuzzy hyperring then two fuzzy geometric spaces (Δ, \tilde{B}_R) and (Δ, \tilde{B}_C) are equal.

Proof. It obtain from definition of fuzzy geometric spaces (Δ, \tilde{B}_R) and (Δ, \tilde{B}_C) .

Lemma 3.6. Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring. Then

(1) If $x_{rs} \in a \otimes b$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) &\leq \\ \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{r1}^{r(s-1)}], & \\ a, b, x_{r(s+1)}^{rk_r}, \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]). \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $x_{rs} \in a \oplus b$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) &\leq \tilde{B}_C \\ ([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{(r-1)1}^{(r-1)k_{r-1}}], [x_{r1}^{r(s-1)}], & \\ a, x_{r(s+1)}^{rk_r}, [x_{r1}^{r(s-1)}], b, x_{r(s+1)}^{rk_r}, [x_{(r+1)1}^{(r+1)k_{r+1}}], & \\ \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]). \end{aligned}$$

(3) If $x_{rs} \in B = \tilde{B}_C([y_{11}^{1l_1}], \dots, [y_{m1}^{ml_m}])$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) &\leq \tilde{B}_C \\ ([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{r1}^{r(s-1)}], B, x_{r(s+1)}^{rk_r}, \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) & \\ = \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{(r-1)1}^{(r-1)k_{r-1}}], [.] , [x_{(r+1)1}^{(r+1)k_{r+1}}], & \\ \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]), & \\ \text{where} & \\ [.] = [x_{r1}^{r(s-1)}], y_{11}^{1l_1}, x_{r(s+1)}^{rk_r}, \dots, [x_{r1}^{r(s-1)}], y_{m1}^{ml_m} & \\ , x_{r(s+1)}^{rk_r}]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Let $x_{rs} \in a \otimes b$ and

$\tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) > 0$. Then there exists

$\sigma \in S_n, \sigma_r \in S_{k_r}$, such that

$(\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)})(y) > 0$, if $\sigma(u) = r$ and $\sigma_r(v) = s$, then we have $x_{\sigma(u)\sigma_{\sigma(u)}(v)} = x_{rs}$, such that $(a \otimes b)(x_{\sigma(u)\sigma_{\sigma(u)}(v)}) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) &= \\ = (\sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)})(y) & \\ = ((\sum_{i=1}^{u-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)}) \oplus (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes & \\ x_{rs} \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)}) \oplus & \\ (\sum_{i=u+1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)}))(y), \end{aligned}$$

we set:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{u-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)} &= \mu, \\ \prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes x_{rs} \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} &= \nu, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=u+1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)} = \eta.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) &= \bigvee (\mu \oplus \nu \oplus \eta)(y) \\ = \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\mu \oplus \nu)(p) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} & \\ = \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} \nu(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} & \\ = \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes x_{rs} \otimes & \\ \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} & \\ = \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\bigvee_{z \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes x_{rs})(z) \wedge & \\ (z \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} & \\ = \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\bigvee_{z \in R} (\bigvee_{t \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(t) \wedge (t \otimes & \\ x_{rs})(z) \wedge (z \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} & \\ \leq \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\bigvee_{z \in R} (\bigvee_{t \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(t) \wedge & \\ (t \otimes x_{rs})(z) \wedge (a \otimes b)(x_{rs}) \wedge (z \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} & \\ \leq \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\bigvee_{z \in R} (\bigvee_{t \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(t) \wedge & \\ \bigvee_{w \in R} [(t \otimes w)(z) \wedge (a \otimes b)(w)] \wedge (z \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} & \\ \leq \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\bigvee_{z \in R} (\bigvee_{t \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(t) \wedge & \\ (t \otimes (a \otimes b))(z) \wedge (z \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)})(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y)] \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\bigvee_{z \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes (a \otimes b))(z) \wedge \\
&\quad (z \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)}))(q) \\
&\quad \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y))] \} \\
&= \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\bigvee_{q \in R} (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes a \otimes b \otimes \\
&\quad \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)}))(q) \wedge (\mu \oplus q)(p)) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y))] \} \\
&= \bigvee \{ \bigvee_{p \in R} [(\mu \oplus (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes a \otimes b \otimes \\
&\quad \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)}))(p) \wedge (p \oplus \eta)(y))] \} \\
&= \bigvee \{ (\sum_{i=1}^{u-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)}) \oplus (\prod_{j=1}^{v-1} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)} \otimes \\
&\quad a \otimes b \otimes \prod_{j=v+1}^{k_u} x_{r\sigma_{\sigma(r)}(j)}) \oplus \\
&\quad (\sum_{i=u+1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{k_{\sigma(i)}} x_{\sigma(i)\sigma_{\sigma(i)}(j)}))(y), \\
&= \tilde{B}_C([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{r1}^{r(s-1)}], a, b, x_{r(s+1)}^{rk_r}, \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}])
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

The proof of (2) is similar to (1) and (3) obtains from (1) and (2).

Lemma 3.7. *Lemma 3.6, is true for the fuzzy geometric space (Δ, \tilde{B}_R) .*

Theorem 3.8. *If (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperfield then*

- (1) *The fuzzy geometric space (Δ, \tilde{B}_R) is a strongly transitive fuzzy geometric space.*
- (2) *The fuzzy geometric space (Δ, \tilde{B}_C) is a strongly transitive fuzzy geometric space.*

Proof. Let $B_1 = \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}])$, $B_2 = \tilde{B}_R([y_{11}^{1l_1}], \dots, [y_{m1}^{ml_m}])$ be two fuzzy block of \tilde{B}_R such that

$$B_1 \wedge B_2 > 0, \quad B_2(x) > 0.$$

Let for $b \in R$, we have $B_1(b) > 0$ and $B_2(b) > 0$. Since R is a fuzzy hyperfield, thus there exist $u_1^n \in R$ and $v \in R$ such that $(u_i \otimes x)(x_{ik_i}) = 1$ and $(b \otimes v)(x) = 1$. Then we have $x_{ik_i} \in u_i \otimes x$, $x \in b \otimes v$. By Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \\
&\leq \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, x, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, x) \\
&\leq \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, b, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, b, v) \\
&\leq \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, B_2, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, B_2, v).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $(b \otimes v)(x) = 1$, $B_1(b) > 0$,

then $\bigvee_b [\tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) (b) \wedge (b \otimes v)(x)] > 0$,

that is equal to $(\tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \otimes v)(x) > 0$, then by Lemma 3.6,

$$(\tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, x, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, x) \otimes v)(x) > 0.$$

So by Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, x, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, x) \otimes v \\
&= \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, x, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, x, v),
\end{aligned}$$

since $B_2(x) = \tilde{B}_R([y_{11}^{1l_1}], \dots, [y_{m1}^{ml_m}]) (x) > 0$, and by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, x, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, x, v) (x) \\
&\leq \tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, B_2, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, B_2, v) (x)
\end{aligned}$$

therefore there exists $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ such that

$$\tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, B_2, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, B_2, v) (x) \geq \alpha.$$

By the concept of fuzzy point x_α , we have

$$\tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, B_2, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, B_2, v) \geq x_\alpha.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1k_1}], \dots, [x_{n1}^{nk_n}]) \vee x_\alpha \leq \\
&\tilde{B}_R([x_{11}^{1(k_1-1)}], u_1, B_2, v, \dots, [x_{n1}^{n(k_n-1)}], u_n, B_2, v).
\end{aligned}$$

and the fuzzy geometric space $\tilde{G} = (\Delta, \tilde{B}_R)$ is strongly transitive. In a similar way we obtain (2).

Definition 3.9. Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring. We define the relation Γ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
x \Gamma y &\iff \exists n \in N, k_i \in N, \exists (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ik_i}) \in \\
&R^{k_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n; \\
&\sum_{i=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} x_{ij})(x) > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} x_{ij})(y) > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.10. Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperring.

We define the relation α as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
x \alpha y &\iff \exists n \in N, k_i \in N, \exists \sigma \in \\
&S_n, \exists (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ik_i}) \in R^{k_i}, \exists \sigma_i \in S_{k_i} 1 \leq i \leq n;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} x_{ij})(x) > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i)(y) > 0,$$

where $A_i = \prod_{j=1}^{\tilde{k}_i} x_{i\sigma_i(j)}$.

The relation α and Γ are reflexive and symmetric. We take Γ^* , α^* be the transitive closure of Γ , α . Then Γ^* , α^* is an equivalence relation on R .

Theorem 3.11. Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a hyperfield. Then

- (1) $\Gamma = \Gamma^*$.
- (2) $\alpha = \alpha^*$.

Proof. (2) Let (R, \oplus, \otimes) be a fuzzy hyperfield. Then the relation \sim defined on fuzzy geometric space (Δ, \tilde{B}_C) coincides with the relation α on the fuzzy hyperfield (R, \oplus, \otimes) . Also the relation \approx defined on the fuzzy geometric space (Δ, \tilde{B}_C) coincides with the relation α^* on the fuzzy hyperfield (R, \oplus, \otimes) . Now, if (R, \oplus, \otimes) is a hyperfield then the fuzzy geometric space (Δ, \tilde{B}_C) is strongly transitive by Theorem 2.13, we have

$$\alpha = \sim = \approx = \alpha^*.$$

The proof of (1) is similar.

Conclusion

The study of hyperoperations was initiated by Marty in [18] and continued by others (see [2, 4–7, 9, 11, 13, 14, 20]). The above discussion shows that fuzzy geometric space can be done for fuzzy hyperrings, which have recently appeared in the previous paper (for more see [1]). This paper provides useful condition for doing new research in the field of fuzzy geometric space associated to fuzzy hypermodules and fundamental relation for fuzzy hyperstructures.

Acknowledgements

The first author partially has been supported by the "Research Center in Algebraic Hyperstructures and Fuzzy Mathematics, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran" and "Algebraic Hyperstructure Excellence, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran".

References

- [1] R. Ameri, M. Asghari-Larimi and N. Firouzkouhi, Fuzzy geometric spaces associated to fuzzy hypersemigroups, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* **34**(2018), 703–709.
- [2] R. Ameri, On categories of hypergroups and hypermodules, *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* **6**(2-3) (2003), 121–132.
- [3] R. Ameri, T. Nozari and M. Norouzi, On fuzzy geometric spaces, submitted for publication.
- [4] S.M. Anvariye and B. Davvaz, Strongly transitive geometric spaces associated to hypermodules, *Journal of Algebra* **322**(4) (2009), 1340–1359.
- [5] M. Al Tahan, H.-M. Šarkab and B. Davvaz, An overview of topological hypergroupoids, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* **34**(3) (2018), 1907–1916.
- [6] G. Chowdhury, Fuzzy transposition hypergroups, *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems* **6**(3) (2009), 37–52.
- [7] J. Chvalina and S. Hoskova-Mayerova, On certain proximities and preorderings on the transposition hypergroups of linear first-order partial differential operators, *An Stint Univ Ovidius Constanta Ser Mat* **22**(1) (2014), 85–103.
- [8] P. Corsini, *Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory*, second edition Aviani editor, 1993.
- [9] P. Corsini and V. Leoreanu, *Applications of Hyperstructure Theory*, Kluwer Academic Publications, 2003.
- [10] P. Corsini and I. Tofan, On fuzzy hypergroups, *PU M A* **8** (1997), 29–37.
- [11] B. Davvaz and V. Leoreanu, Hyperring theory and applications, *Interna* (2007).
- [12] D. Freni, Strongly transitive geometric spaces: Applications to hypergroups and semigroups theory, *Commu Algebra* **32**(3) (2004), 969–988.
- [13] D. Freni, On a strongly regular relation in hypergroupoids, *Pure Mathematics and Applications* **3**(3-4) (1992), 191–198.
- [14] D. Freni, A new characterization of the derived hypergroup via strongly regular equivalences, *Communications in Algebra* **30**(8) (2002), 3977–3989.
- [15] M. Koskas, Gropoids, semi-hypergroups and hypergroups, *J Math Pures* **49**(2) (1970), 155.
- [16] V. Leoreanu-Fotea and B. Davvaz, Fuzzy hyperrings, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **160**(16) (2009), 2366–2378.
- [17] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, Fuzzy hypermodules, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **57**(3) (2009), 466–475.
- [18] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, *In 8th Congress Math Scandinaves*, 1934, 45–49.
- [19] S. Mirvakili and B. Davvaz, Strongly transitive geometric spaces: Applications to hyperrings, *Rev Un Mat Argentina* **53**(1) (2012), 43–53.
- [20] S. Mirvakili, S.M. Anvariye and B. Davvaz, Transitivity of \lceil -relation on hyperfields, *Bulletin mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie* (2008), 233–243.
- [21] M.K. Sen, R. Ameri and G. Chowdhury, Fuzzy hypersemigroups, *Soft Computing* **12**(9) (2008), 891–900.
- [22] K. Serafimidis, A. Kehagias and M. Konstantinidou, The L-fuzzy Corsini join hyperoperation, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* (2002), 83–90.
- [23] S. Spatalis and T. Vougiouklis, The fundamental relations on H_v -rings, *Math Pura Appl* **13** (1994), 7–20.
- [24] T. Vougiouklis, The Fundamental Relations in Hyperrings, *The General Hyperfield Proc 4th International Congress in Algebraic Hyperstructures and Its Applications(AHA 1990)* World Scientific, 1990, 203–211.