In vitro Evaluation of Different Substitution Levels of Soybean Meal by Guar Meal in a Fattening Diet for Lambs
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ABSTRACT

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the replacement effect of soybean meal (SBM) at different levels (0 as D1, 33 as D2, 67 as D3 and 100% as D4 based on crude protein (CP) content) by guar meal (GM) on ruminal gas production kinetics, ruminal digestibility and fermentation characteristics of a fattening diet for lambs. Three experiments were conducted. The first experiment of 144-h incubations was aimed to determine comparatively the gas production kinetics of SBM and GM. The gas production kinetics and the ruminal digestibility and fermentation of the fattening diet, containing different proportions of SBM and GM, were also studied by the incubations of 144-h and 24-h in the second and last experiments, respectively. The results of the first kinetic experiment indicated a higher asymptote of gas production (a), half time to asymptote of gas production (T1/2) and metabolizable energy (ME) and a lower fractional rate of gas production (µ) for SBM than GM (P<0.001). Replacing SBM with GM had no effect on gas production kinetics of the fattening diet at any substitution levels in the second kinetic experiment (P>0.05). However, the gas produced after 24-h of incubation (GP24), in vitro true dry matter degradability (IVTDMD), in vitro true organic matter degradability (IVTOMD), partitioning factor (PF), microbial biomass production (MBP) and total volatile fatty acids (TVFA) concentration increased with D2 compared to D1 and D3 in the last experiment. The ammonia concentration decreased with D2 and D3 compared to D4 (P<0.05), nevertheless, the ruminal volatile fatty acids (VFA) pattern was not affected by the treatments (P>0.05). These results demonstrated that the protein from SBM might been replaced by that from GM in fattening diets for lambs at the levels up to 67%, but the 33% substitution is recommended because of its beneficial effects on ruminal digestibility and fermentation.
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INTRODUCTION

Guar is a subtropical annual legume cultivated mainly in arid regions and used as animal feed, green manure or for extraction of gum, which the latter is of higher commercial importance (Sharma and Gumagalolm, 2012). The crude protein (CP) content of guar meal (GM) varies depending on the proportion of the hull and germ, as well as the proportion of the gum remaining in the meal after gum extraction (Nagpal et al. 1971; Conner, 2002; Sharma and Gumagalolm, 2012). The nutritive value of GM is comparable to that of soybean meal (SBM), though its methionine and lysine contents are lower than those found in SBM (Verma and McNab, 1984) yet, it has some advantages such as lower cost and lower content of trypsin inhibitor compared to SBM (Verma and McNab, 1982; Conner, 2002).
Thus, its substitution to SBM in the diets of ruminants has been studied in some experiments in recent years (Ahmed et al. 2000; Vatandoust et al. 2010; Turki et al. 2011). A higher performance has been reported for animals fed the diets containing GM, especially at lower inclusion levels (Mahdavi et al. 2010; Vatandoust et al. 2010; Salehpour and Qazvinian, 2011). Part of higher performance in animals fed the diets containing GM in these experiments may be originated from an improved ruminal digestion and fermentation of these diets, particularly regarding a higher ruminal degradability of GM protein compared to that of SBM (Habib et al. 2013b; Marghazani et al. 2013). However, there are few data related to the impact of GM on ruminal digestibility and fermentation when included in the diets of ruminants. This concerns both in vivo and in vitro experiments, however, because of higher cost and inconvenience of in vivo experiment, in vitro experiments, particularly those based on gas production technique can provide valuable information on the effect of supplements on rumenal digestion and fermentation. Hence, the objective of the present study was to assess the effects of the replacing SBM with GM in rumen gas production kinetics and ruminal digestibility and fermentation in a fattening diet for lambs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experiments**

The current study was composed of three in vitro experiments, of which two were completed with the incubations of 144-h (kinetic experiments) and the last with the incubations of 24-h of the samples. The first kinetic experiment was for comparative determination of gas production kinetic features of SBM and GM. The second kinetic experiment was made to assess the effects of the replacing SBM with GM on ruminal gas production kinetics of a fattening diet for lambs at the substitution levels of 33, 67 and 100% (CP basis, i.e. the protein content in the SBM was replaced at the above mentioned levels by that of GM).

The last experiment of 24-h incubation was conducted to evaluate the effects of the replacing SBM with GM at the same levels mentioned above on ruminal digestibility and fermentation of the fattening diet.

**Guar meal and experimental diets**

Guar meal was purchased from Ariya Shirin Noush Co. (Ariya Shirin Noush, Shiraz). A diet was formulated according to NRC (1985) to meet the nutrient requirements of growing lambs. Soybean meal in the basal diet (D1) was replaced by GM at the above-mentioned levels in D2-D4 (Table 1). The metabolizable energy and CP contents of the diets were the same. These experimental diets were used as the fermentation substrates (treatments) in the incubation media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplement</th>
<th>Chemical composition (% of DM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBM</td>
<td>95.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>97.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1 Chemical composition of guar meal (GM) and soybean meal (SBM)**

**Animals and rumen fluid**

Rumen fluids were collected before the morning feeding from three ruminally fistulated matures Mehraban rams (50±4 kg of body weight). To meet nutrient requirements, the rams were fed ad libitum a mixed diet composed of (per kg DM) 700 g alfalfa hay and 300 g barley plus minerals and vitamins (NRC, 1985), providing 9.58 MJ ME and 137.4 g CP. Rumen fluids were then pooled and strained through four layer cheese clothes into a pre-warmed, insulated flask and immediately transported to the laboratory.

**In vitro gas production**

In vitro gas production procedure was conducted as described by Menke and Steinass (1988). While incubation substrates in the first kinetic experiment were GM and SBM, the substrates in the other experiments were the experimental diets with different proportions of SBM and GM. In the incubations of 144-h, a representative air-dried sample was ground to pass a 1 mm sieve and sub-samples of 200 mg (DM basis) were weighed into 100 mL glass syringes. Incubation of the samples was conducted in triplicate with 30 mL of buffered rumen fluid under continuous flow of CO₂. Three syringes containing 30 mL of buffered rumen fluid without substrate were considered as blanks. The syringes were then placed in a water-bath at 39 °C and gas volume was recorded at 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 h of incubation. In the experiment of 24-h, in order to reduce the inherent error with gravimetric determination of substrate digestibility in the incubations, a higher amount (500 mg) of the substrates were incubated in 100 mL glass syringes with 40 mL of buffered rumen fluid according to the method illustrated by Makkar et al. (1995). After 24-h of incubation, contents of the syringes were transferred into centrifuge tubes and were immediately placed in cold water at 4 °C to stop the fermentation. Tube contents were then centrifuged at 15000 × g for 20 min at 4 °C, aliquots of 4 mL of the supernatants were mixed with 1 mL of 25% metaphosphoric acid and frozen at -20 °C until subsequent analysis for volatile fatty acids (VFA) and ammonia content. Remaining residues in the tubes were boiled with neutral detergent solution at 100 °C for 1 h to estimate
the *in vitro* true dry mater degradability (IVTDMD) after being oven-dried at 60 °C for 48 h. The recovered residues were subsequently incinerated in sintered glass crucibles at 600 °C to quantify the *in vitro* true organic matter degradability (IVTOMD).

### Chemical analyses

Dry matter, total ash, ether extract (EE) and CP were measured according to the standard methods described by AOAC (2000). The NDF was determined as described by Van Soest *et al.* (1991) and are expressed exclusive of residual ash. Ammonia concentration in the supernatant was determined as illustrated by Broderick and Kang (1980). VFA concentrations of the samples were quantified according to Ottenstein and Bartley (1971) using a gas chromatograph (GC-FID, PU4410-PHILIPS, England) equipped with a flame ionization detector and a 10PEG column.

### Calculations and statistical analysis

Data of the gas produced at different times during 144-h of incubation were fitted to the model proposed by France *et al.* (1993) as shown in the below, by NLIN procedure of SAS (SAS, 2002).

\[
GP = a [1 - e^{- \left( \frac{t - L}{b} \right)^c}] 
\]

Where:
- \(GP\) (mL): is the gas produced at the time.
- \(t\) and \(a\) (mL): are the asymptote of gas production.
- \(b\) and \(c\): are constants.
- \(L\) (h) is the lag time.

\(T_{1/2}\) (h, time to half asymptote of gas production) and \(\mu\) (/h, fractional rate of gas production at \(T_{1/2}\)) were calculated using the following equations (France *et al.* 1993):

\[
T_{1/2} = \left[ \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{2} + b \left( \frac{b c + \ln(0.5)}{b} \right) \right)^2}}{b} \right]
\]

\[
\mu = \frac{b c}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} + \left( \frac{1}{2} + b \left[ \frac{b c + \ln(0.5)}{b} \right] \right)^2}}\]

Organic matter digestibility (OMD, %), short chain fatty acids (SCFA, mmol/200 mg DM) and metabolizable energy (ME, MJ/kg DM) for SBM and GM was estimated according to Menke and Steingass (1988), using the following equations:

\[
\text{OMD} = 14.88 + 0.889 \text{GP} + 0.084 \text{CP} + 0.22 \text{EE} - 0.081 \text{Ash}
\]

\[
\text{SCFA} = 0.0222 \text{GP} - 0.00425
\]

\[
\text{ME} = 1.06 + 0.157 \text{GP} + 0.084 \text{CP} + 0.22 \text{EE} - 0.081 \text{Ash}
\]

Where:
- \(GP\): is 24-h net gas production (mL/200 mg DM).
- \(CP\), \(EE\) and \(OM\): are crude protein, ether extract and organic matter (% of DM), respectively.

The ratio of organic matter truly degraded (mg) to the gas produced (mL) after 24-h of incubation was used as PF (Blummel *et al.* 1997). The microbial biomass production (MBP) was estimated using the equation (shown below) proposed by Blummel (2000).

\[
\text{MBP} = \text{TSD} - (\text{gas volume} \times \text{SF})
\]

Where:
- \(\text{TSD}\): is the true substrate (organic matter) degraded at the end of incubation (24-h).
- \(\text{SF}\): is stoichiometric factor equivalent to 2.2, and the gas volume refers to the gas produced at 24-h of incubation.

The estimated kinetic parameters and data (measured variables) of 24-h experiment were subjected to analysis of variance by GLM procedure of SAS (SAS, 2002) using the following model:

\[
Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}
\]

Where:
- \(Y_{ij}\): is the observation.
- \(\mu\): is the overall mean for each parameter.
- \(T_i\): is the effect of treatments (SBM vs. GM in the first experiment and type of the diet, containing different proportions of SBM and GM, in the other experiments).
- \(e_{ij}\): is the residual error.

In the case of statistical significance, declared at \(P<0.05\), the means for each parameter or variable were compared between the treatments using Duncan’s multiple range test. The means of estimated parameters in the first experiment were compared using the t-test.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Chemical composition of SBM and GM

Except the CP and NDF contents, which were higher in GM than SBM, a comparable chemical composition was observed for these two supplements (Table 2). The chemical composition of GM in the current study was also comparable to heat processed GM which was used in the study of Danesh Mesgharan *et al.* (2010).

#### Gas production kinetics of SBM and GM

In the first kinetic experiment, the parameters of ‘a’ and \(T_{1/2}\)
were higher for SBM (Table 3) compared to those for GM (P<0.001). A very short lag phase (L) was observed which was almost similar for both supplements, however, the fractional rate of gas production (µ) was higher for GM when compared to that of SBM (P<0.001). Moreover, a higher amount of DOMD, SCFA and ME were determined for GM than for SBM (P<0.001). A higher fractional rate of gas production (µ) was higher for GM when compared to that of SBM (P<0.001). A very short lag phase (L) was observed which obtained at shorter T_{1/2} for GM, may be due to a higher proportion of soluble or quickly degradable fraction of the OM involved in the gas produced from GM compared to that of SBM.

This hypothesis is supported by the results of some in sacco experiments, which reported a higher ‘a’ fraction for GM than SBM (Habib et al. 2013a; Marghazani et al. 2013). Additionally, in an in sacco experiment in our laboratory (unpublished data), the ‘a’ fraction of GM dry matter was estimated equivalent to 37.1%, which is much higher than those reported for SBM (Mandal et al. 1999; Habib et al. 2013a).

The principal objective of the present study was to assess the replacement effect of SBM by GM in a fattening diet for lambs on its ruminal digestibility and fermentation. This required more information about the nutritional value of these supplements, however, the majority of data in the literatures about these supplements, especially on GM, have focused on their protein content and characteristics (Mandal et al. 2008; Jahani-Azizabadi et al. 2010; Habib et al. 2013b; Marghazani et al. 2013). Thus, the parameters of gas production kinetic obtained in the first experiment provided more information, especially on energetic values of SBM and GM, which made it possible to have a reliable iso-energetic and iso-nitrogenous diets (substrates) in the second and third experiments.

The kinetic parameters revealed a greater potential for SBM than for GM to produce the gas during ruminal incubation, resulting in higher values of OMD, SCFA and ME for SBM. This is due to lower CP content of SBM comparing to GM, because the metabolites originated from the initially degradation of proteins have less chance than those of carbohydrates to be fermented and participated in gas production (Wolin, 1960). Therefore, despite the similar OM content, a higher ME was estimated for SBM than for GM. Nevertheless, a higher fractional rate of gas production, which obtained at shorter T_{1/2} for GM, may be due to a higher proportion of soluble or quickly degradable fraction of the OM involved in the gas produced from GM compared to that of SBM.

### Table 2

Ingredients and chemical composition of the fattening diets, containing guar meal (GM) replaced to soybean meal (SBM) at different levels (0 as D1, 33% as D2, 67% as D3 and 100% as D4, based on CP content)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient (% DM basis)</th>
<th>Diets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barely</td>
<td>50.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guar meal</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minerals and vitamins</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3

Chemical composition (% of DM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>D2</th>
<th>D3</th>
<th>D4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME (MJ/ Kg DM)</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; EE: ether extract; NDF: neutral detergent fibre; ME: metabolizable energy.

### Table 4

Estimated parameters of gas production kinetic for guar meal (GM) and soybean meal (SBM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Supplements</th>
<th>GM</th>
<th>SBM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a (mL)</td>
<td>223.9±9.03a</td>
<td>338.7±6.72a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (h)</td>
<td>0.032±0.0163</td>
<td>0.027±0.0036</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{1/2} (h)</td>
<td>6.22±0.448b</td>
<td>8.06±0.402a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ (h)</td>
<td>0.100±0.0060b</td>
<td>0.080±0.0012b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMD (%)</td>
<td>78.4±1.100b</td>
<td>92.7±1.03a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMD (%)</td>
<td>73.1±1.03b</td>
<td>86.7±0.96c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCFA (mmol/200 mg DM)</td>
<td>0.81±0.029b</td>
<td>1.19±0.028a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>13.03±0.195b</td>
<td>14.08±0.181b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: asymptote of gas production (mL per 200 mg DM); L: lag time; T_{1/2}: half time to asymptote; µ: fractional rate of gas production; OMD: organic matter digestibility; DOMD: digestible organic matter in dry matter; SCFA: short chain fatty acids; ME: metabolizable energy; GM: guar meal; SBM: soybean meal.

### Table 5

Ruminal gas production kinetics of a fattening diet for lambs containing different levels of GM substituted to SBM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters 1</th>
<th>Diets 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{1/2}</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1: asymptote of gas production (mL per 200 mg DM); L: lag time; T_{1/2}: half time to asymptote; µ: fractional rate of gas production (h).

2: The fattening diets containing different levels of GM (0 as D1, 33 as D2, 67 as D3 and 100% as D4, based on CP content) replaced to SBM.

SEM: standard error of mean.

### Table 6

Ruminal digestibility and fermentation of the diets containing different levels of GM substituted to SBM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters 1</th>
<th>Diets 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{1/2}</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the diets and their fermentation (Table 5), as the highest GP24 was observed with D2, which was significantly higher than that with D1 and D4 (P<0.05).

The same variation was observed for the IVTDMD and IVTOMD, the highest values for these variables were obtained at 33% inclusion of GM (CP basis) in the diet (P<0.001). The PF, as the index of organic matter partitioning between two pathways, including microbial biomass and VFA production, was also higher with D2 compared to D1 and D4 (P<0.001). The variation of MBP among the diets was highly correlated with that of partitioning factor (PF), as it increased with D2 and D3 compared to D1, but decreased dramatically at the highest level of GM in the diet (D4). The modification in total volatile fatty acids (TVFA) concentration also followed closely that of GP24, as its highest value was observed with D2, which tended to be different significantly from those measured with the other diets (P=0.059). In contrast to MBP, which was higher with D2 and D3 compared to the other diets, the NH3 concentration was reduced with D2 and D3 compared to D1, but increased considerably with D4. The GP24 variation was coherent with that of TVFA. It was expected, because there is a close linkage between the gas, as waste product, and VFA, as useful product of the fermentation, and both are the products of the same pathway in the rumen fermentation (Blummel et al. 1997).

Moreover, there was a high correlation between the fermentation end products (GP24 and TVFA) and ruminal DM and OM digestions, as the highest value for all of these variables were obtained with D2. A huge increase in MBP with D2 and D3 was highly related to a higher DM and OM digestions, as the highest value for all of these variables were obtained with D2. Therefore, it can be deduced that the higher solubility or the higher proportion of ‘a’ fraction of protein in GM than in SBM may explains part of the improved rumen fermentation in D2 in the current study, nitrogen to the rumen has resulted in a better synchronization of energy and nitrogen for rumen microorganisms in D2, giving rise, as it can be deduced Indeed, it appears that early supply of higher amount of from MBP, to their higher growth in D2 and D3.

A higher feed intake and weight gain has also been reported during the first month of the finishing period for the lambs fed the diet in which GM substituted to SBM (Mahdavi et al. 2010). In other experiment conducted by Turki et al. (2011), the sheep fed a diet containing 15% GM had higher weight gain and lower feed conversion ratio compared to those fed diets with 0, 25 and 32% GM. In all of these experiments, lower inclusion levels of GM have resulted in the best performance. It might partly been related to some modifications caused by GM in ruminal fermentation, as it was observed in the present study. Positive effect of GM on rumen fermentation at lower inclusion levels is of high probability due to its protein characteristics, which may result in a higher synchronization of energy and nitrogen for rumen microorganisms. However, its depressive effect at higher levels may be of different origins. Its anti-nutrients compounds, such as anti-trypsin (Lee et al. 2003), phenolic compounds and galactomannans (Van Nivel et al. 2005) can negatively affect rumen fermentation, though the amount of these compounds in processed GMs is very low. The results from the current research demonstrated that the inclusion of SBM and GM together has more beneficial effect on ruminal digestion and fermentation than when each used alone, especially with 33% replacement of SMB by GM. This may be due to a better supply of nutrients to rumen microorganisms, resulting in a higher OM digestion and, thereby, producing a higher GP24 and TVFA with D2.

In data comparing directly the ruminal degradability of protein from GM and SBM, a higher quickly degradable fraction (a) have often been reported for GM: 18.4 vs. 16.8%, (Habib et al. 2013b); 22.7 vs. 16.5% (Marghazani et al. 2013) for GM and SBM, respectively. Furthermore, a higher ruminal degradability of protein has been reported for GM compared to SBM in most of the experiments (Olaisen et al. 2003; Mondal et al. 2008; Marghazani et al. 2013).

Additionally, Mondal et al. (2008), reported that the (A+B1) and B2 fractions of protein were higher in GM compared to SBM (46.4%, 20.8% vs. 41.6%, 15.9% for GM and SBM, respectively). Regarding these results, it can be concluded that the higher solubility or the higher proportion of ‘a’ fraction of protein in GM than in SBM may explains part of the improved rumen fermentation in D2 in the current study, nitrogen to the rumen has resulted in a better synchronization of energy and nitrogen for rumen microorganisms in D2, giving rise, as it can be deduced Indeed, it appears that early supply of higher amount of from MBP, to their higher growth in D2 and D3.

This suggestion is supported regarding a high proportion of barley in the diets, as a quickly fermentable substrate in the rumen, which provides a high amount of fermentable energy for rumen microorganism in early times of incubation. However, decreasing all variables of rumen digestibility and fermentation with D4, may be caused by a poor balance of amino acids, especially by a lower methionine and lysine contents in GM than SBM (Verma and McNab, 1984). The increase in TVFA with D2 was coherent with that of IVTOMD in this diet, however, the increment in IVTOMD and IVTDMD were more pronounced. It seems that the inclusion of GM in D2 and somewhat D3 has not only stimulated the ruminal fermentation, but also caused a redirection of digested OM from VFA and gas production.
pathway to that of MBP. This may be explanatory for a huge increase in MBP and PF with D2 and D3. This suggestion is supported by a decrease in ruminal ammonia concentration with D2 and D3. Indeed, the ruminal concentration of ammonia at any given time reflects the balance between its production from proteins degraded in the rumen and its loss due to ammonia consumption by the rumen bacteria especially by the cellulolytics (Nolan and Dobos, 2005). Thus, despite the increase in ruminal OM digestion and VFA production with D2 and D3, the decrease in ruminal ammonia could be indicative of its consumption effectively by the rumen bacteria in these diets.

Ruminal VFAs pattern of the diets containing different levels of GM substituted to SBM

Despite the increase in TVFA with D2 (Table 6), the substitution of SBM by GM had no effect on VFA pattern in the diets (P>0.05). The ratio of propionate to acetate (P/A) also remained unchanged with inclusion of GM in replace to SBM. These results reveal that replacing SBM by GM in fattening diets has no effect on the rumen proportions of principal microorganism populations.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study indicated that replacement of SBM by GM has a stimulatory effect on ruminal digestibility and fermentation of fattening diets at 33% replacement level (CP content basis). However, this beneficial effect on the rumen microbial ecosystem seems to be directed mainly toward microbial biomass synthesis. Regarding the higher CP content of GM compared to SBM, GM, as a cheaper protein supplement, can be replaced to SBM at the levels of up to 67% (CP content basis) in fattening diets for lambs.
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