Prediction of Temperature and Precipitation in Damavand Catchment in Iran by Using LARS–WG in Future
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Abstract: In recent years the issue of climate change and its effects on various aspects of the environment has become one of the challenges facing planners. It is desirable to analyze and predict the change of critical climatic variables, such as temperature and precipitation, which will provide valuable reference results for future water resources planning and management in the region. The aims of this study are to test the applicability of the Long Ashton Research Station Weather Generator (LARS-WG) model in downscaling daily precipitation and daily maximum (Tmax) and daily minimum (Tmin) temperatures in Damavand catchment in Iran and use it to predict future changes of precipitation and temperature. Future climate of the Damavand catchment is predicted by statistical downscaling outputs from General Circulation Models (GCMs) (HADCM3 for SRES A2 and B2 and A1B scenarios) for the period of 2046–2065. The results showed that the LARS-WG model produces excellent performance in downscaling Tmax and Tmin in the study region but compared to temperature, the model showed more error in downscaling daily precipitation. This issue was confirmed by examining the performance indicators including coefficient of determination, mean absolute error and root-mean square error. Also results showed that precipitation will decrease in future under these scenarios but temperature will increase. Findings of this study will serve as a reference for further studies and planning of future water management strategies in the Damavand catchment.
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1. Introduction

Today, the issue of climate change and its effects on various aspects of human life has become one of the most important environmental, political, economic and social issues. Climate change refers to any significant change in measures of climate lasting for an extended period (Giorgi, 2006). It is generally observed in the variations of temperature, precipitation, snow cover, sea level, atmospheric and oceanic circulation patterns, extreme weather events, etc. (Houghton et al., 2001). This phenomenon occurs when the climate of a specific area or planet is altered between two different periods of time (Eslamian, 2014). The globally averaged surface temperature data shows a linear warming trend of 0.85 °C [0.65 to 1.06 °C] during the period 1880–2012. The total increase between the average of the 1850–1900 period and the 2003–2012 period is 0.78 °C [0.72 to 0.85 °C], based on the single longest dataset available (IPCC, 2013). Changes in climate parameters such as precipitation and temperature will be coupled with adverse consequences, including flood, drought, loss of biodiversity and agricultural productions (Sayemuzzaman, et al., 2014; Kumar Taxak, et al., 2014). Thus, given the importance that climate change has and will have on the Earth's environment and its inhabitants it is necessary to take efforts towards further understanding of how climate change events occur. A well-established consensus by the climate change science community is that the downscaling of the raw output of climate change experiments from the Global Climate Model (GCM) is required for impact applications at regional to local scale (Pervez and Henebry, 2014). General circulation models (GCM), are models that have been developed in order to simulate the current climate of the earth, and are able to predict future climate change (Xu, 1999). These predictions are based on various scenarios, each representing a state of
producing greenhouse gas emissions and factors affecting the production and the control of these gases. Some of the most famous general circulation models include: HadCM3, PCMI, MPI, CGCM3 and CSIRO-MK2. GCMs are considered to be the most reliable source providing the climate change information at coarse spatial resolution (Pervaz and Henebry, 2014). However, a network which is defined for GCMs just covers few latitudes and longitudes. Thus, the output from these models does not apply at the local scale. To convert this data into applied basin-wide scales, down-scaling methods are used where, the large-scale data of general circulation models is converted to regional and local or station data (Xu, 1999). In general, there are two types of downscaling methods widely used to transform coarse scale information to finer scale: dynamic (physical) and statistical (empirical) downscaling (Chu et al., 2010; Karamouz et al., 2010; Wilby et al., 2004). Regional dynamical models have good spatial resolution for climate assessment and in which small-scale atmospheric and climate processes are somewhat involved, however, the use of these models is faced with time constraints of implementing the model (Babaeian and Najafi Nik, 2006). However, statistical approaches are preferable to dynamic methods because they are cost-effective, simple and fast in down-scaling and since these methods require fewer parameters than dynamical methods, they have received much attention in studies related to climate science (Wilby et al., 2004). In contrast, statistical downscaling builds relationships between large-scale climate variables (predictors) and local weather (predictands) based on the view that the regional climate is conditioned by two factors: large-scale climatic state and local/ regional physiographic features (Wilby et al., 2004). Some of the models used in statistical methods include: USCLIMATE WGEN, LARS–WG, CLIMGEN, GEM and SDSM. In recent years, several studies have been done on the effects of climate change and the prediction of climate variables using down-scaling statistical models in the world and in Iran that some of them are mentioned. Movromatis and Hansen (2001) comparing WM, WM2 and LARS-WG models showed that LARS-WG model has produced data in a more acceptable level of confidence (95%). Semenov (2008) using LARS-WG model studied temperature and precipitation at 20 stations in the UK, which were located in different climates. The results showed the high ability and accuracy of the model. Rajabi et al (2010) compared the results of two exponential down-scaling models SDSM and LARS-WG, in Kermanshah. The results showed the better performance of LARS-WG model in the study region. Chen et al (2013) used the LARS-WG model and the A2 scenario to predict precipitation, minimum temperature and maximum temperature in Sudan and South Sudan. The results showed the good performance of the model in predicting daily data. The aims of this paper are to test the applicability of the LARS-WG model in downscaling daily precipitation and daily maximum (Tmax) and daily minimum (Tmin) temperatures in Damavand catchment in Iran and use it to predict future changes of precipitation and temperature. So this study tries to answer the following questions: how does precipitation and temperature change in future? Awareness about the occurrence of precipitation and temperature changes in the future is necessary, given the ecological, aesthetic and socioeconomic importance of Damavand catchment and the important role that this catchment plays in the life of the region and the Central Watershed of Iran. In particular, it can be useful in environmental planning especially in water resources and drought programs, sustainable agriculture in the region, preventing seasonal flooding and prevention of soil erosion in the watershed.

2. Study Area

Damavand catchment is located in 51°56’ to 52°36’ of the east longitude and 35°45’ to 36°22’ of the north latitude. This basin is located in the southern region of Mazandaran Province and in term of the political division is a part of Amol County. The catchment area is 231,400 hectares. The minimum altitude of the basin is about 700 meters and the maximum altitude is 5610 meters. All rivers of the basin will end in River Haraz. Main rivers of the basin includes rivers Aachen sar, Shirkolarverd, Namarestagh. Important mountains of the basin are Mount Damavand, the highest area in the catchment area. The climate of the catchment based on Ambrish method ranges from semi-humid at slopes to semi-arid at mountains. The minimum precipitation occurred in the months of August and September and the maximum precipitation occurred in February and March. The coldest month is January and the warmest month is July (Forests, Range & Watershed Management Organization of Iran, 2011). Data required for the study consisted of daily precipitation, minimum temperature, maximum temperature and sunshine hours (which are referred to as observation data) in a thirty-year period (1983-2013). The data was received from Abali synoptic station with geographical coordinates of the east longitude of 51°59’ and the north latitude of 35°46’ and an altitude of 2246 meters above sea level, from meteorological agency. The station is the closest synoptic station to the area that has 30-year data of precipitation, temperature and sunshine hours in a daily scale.

3. Methods

3.1. LARS–WG Model

LARS-WG is a stochastic weather generator and is used for simulating weather data at a single site under both current and future conditions (Racsko et al. 1991; Semenov and Barrow 1997; Semenov and Stratonovitch 2010). The first version of the model was introduced in 1990 in Budapest, Hungary, and was revised in 1998 by Semenov. The model uses complex statistical distributions for modeling climate variables. LARS-WG uses observed daily weather data for a given site to compute a set of parameters for probability distributions of weather variables as well as correlations between them, which are used to generate synthetic weather time series of arbitrary length by randomly selecting values from the appropriate distributions. The first step in the production of synthetic data
is the series modeling of wet and dry days. This model uses semi-empirical distribution to simulate wet and dry days, daily precipitation and solar radiation and this distribution is defined as a of cumulative probability distribution function.

$$EPM = \{a_i, a_i, h_i, i = 0, \ldots, 10\}$$

EMP is a histogram of the distribution of 10 different intervals (ai-1, ai) where ai-1 < ai (Semenov & Barrow, 2002). The number of intervals used in semi-empirical distribution in the new version of the model is 23 compared to the previous version that used 10, shows a more accurate representation of the observation distribution (Chen et al, 2013). In the semi-empirical distribution of radiation, the distance between minimum and maximum monthly radiation is equally divided. However, for dry and wet days and precipitation periods, the distance is increasing. Modeling of daily maximum and minimum temperatures is carried out by random processes with daily mean and standard deviations depending on whether day is wet or dry. The modeling of radiation is independent of temperature. Three-order Fourier series is used to simulate the seasonal mean and standard deviation of temperature. The values of remaining obtained by subtracting mean values from the observation values are used in time analysis of correlation between maximum and minimum temperature (Semenov & Barrow, 2002). In LARS-WG, the process of generating synthetic weather data can be divided into three distinct steps, which are briefly described as follows.

### 3.2. Model Calibration

Model calibration is done to use the function “SITE ANALYSIS” in LARS-WG, which analyzes observed weather data (e.g., precipitation and the maximum and minimum temperature) to determine their statistical characteristics and stores this information in two parameter files (Chen et al, 2013).

### 3.3. Model Validation

The parameter files derived from observed weather data during the model calibration process are used to generate synthetic weather data having the same statistical characteristics as the original observed data. Model validation is to analyze and compare the statistical characteristics of the observed and synthetic weather data to assess the ability of LARS-WG to simulate the precipitation, Tmax, and Tmin at the chosen sites in order to determine whether or not it is suitable for use in the study (Chen et al, 2013).

### 3.4. Generation of Synthetic Weather Data

The parameter files derived from observed weather data during the model calibration process can also be used to generate synthetic data corresponding to a particular climate change scenario simulated by GCMs (Chen et al, 2013).

As mentioned above, in order to predict weather data at a local scale, large-scale predictive data simulated by general circulation model are required. In the latest version of LARS-WG model (5), 15 General Circulation Models (GCM), all obtained from the fourth IPCC report (AR4), are included (Chen et al, 2013). In the present study, predictions of precipitation and temperature data are carried out using the outputs of HadCM3 model under three scenarios A2, B1 and A1B. HadCM3 Model is one the most famous general circulation models of the atmosphere developed at UKMHC7 Research Center in England with a spatial resolution of 2.5° × 3.75° (Khadka et al, 2014). General circulation models do predictions based on scenarios. Each scenario is based on different assumptions about driving forces including demographic, technological and social and economic developments which are referred to as emission scenario. IPCC presented a new set of emission scenarios called SRES in a special report in 1996. In this report, 40 scenarios were presented for the future of the world in four main categories (A1, A2, B1, and B2) (IPCC, 2001). General specifications of the scenarios used in this study are provided in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Key assumptions</th>
<th>CO₂ concentration(ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2011–2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1 ‘The sustainable world’</td>
<td>Rapid change in economic structures, ‘dematerialization’ including improved equity and environmental concern.</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1B ‘The rich world’</td>
<td>Characterised by very rapid economic growth (3% yr⁻¹), low population growth (0.27% yr⁻¹) and rapid introduction of new and more efficient technology. Globally there is economic and cultural convergence and capacity building, with a substantial reduction in regional differences in per capita income.</td>
<td>418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 ‘The separated world’</td>
<td>Cultural identities separate the different regions, making the world more heterogeneous and international cooperation less likely. ‘Family values’, local traditions and high population growth (0.83% yr⁻¹) are emphasised. Less focus on economic growth (1.65% yr⁻¹) and material wealth.</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. CO₂ concentrations for selected climate scenarios specified in the Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (Nakicenovic & Swart 2000; Semenov & Stratonovitch, 2010).

In the present study, to evaluate the performance of LARS-WG model and to ensure its ability to predict climate variables of temperature and precipitation, in addition to statistical tests (t-test, F-test and Chi-square) taking place in
the model in the validation phase, performance indicators, coefficient of determination (R2), mean absolute error (MAE) and root mean square error (RMSE) are also used. Coefficient of determination is a dimensionless measure of which the best value is one. The model mean error and root mean square error represent the model error rate of which the best value is equal to zero (Khalili, 2012).

\[ R^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\mu_X)(Y_i-\mu_Y)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\mu_X)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}(Y_i-\mu_Y)^2} \]

\[ MAE = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}|X_i-Y_i|}{n} \]

\[ RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-Y_i)^2}{n}} \]

In the above equations Xi and Yi are i-th observation and simulated data by the model, respectively. \( \bar{x} \) and \( \bar{y} \) are the average of all data of Xi and Yi in the study population and \( n \) is the number of all samples to be tested.

4. Results and Discussions

4.1. Results of Calibration and Validation of Lars-Wg

The daily data during the period of 1983-2013 were used to calibrate and validate the model for Abali station. In table 2, Results of the statistical tests showed at the 5 % significance level. Results of the Chi-square test in Abali station show that P-Values of this test are situated in the null significance level. Because, considering investigation on the main observational data in the region, it could be seen that there is a high distribution and changes in precipitation in these two moths. The coefficient of determination (R2) for temperature and precipitation variables calculated as 99% and 98% respectively. Minimum and maximum temperature variations indicate less error in terms of the root mean square error (RMSE) and mean of absolute error (MAE) (table 3). However, the value of these indices was calculated as high values for precipitation variable, which indicates the inappropriate performance of the model for simulation of the precipitation data and its cause could be originated from the high variability of the precipitation data in some months. Besides, the precipitation value is very low and in the study area (about a few mm) in year dry months (June, July, August, September), which can lead to errors in the performance of the model for prediction of the precipitation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Maximum temperature</th>
<th>Minimum temperature</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t-test</td>
<td>Chi-Square test</td>
<td>t-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>0.346</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Generations of Future Climate Scenarios

In the step of synthetic data generation, the model simulate the data of daily precipitation, minimum temperature, maximum temperature for the 2065-2046 period in Abali station, based on the data of calibration and validity evaluation steps, and also by using the output of HadCM3 atmospheric
general circulation model. Results of this step show that for Abali station, the average annual precipitation in the period 2065-2046 decreased by 8% in the A1B scenario, by 2% in A2 scenario, and by 7% in B1 scenarios, compared to the baseline (2013-1983). Besides, the results are indicative of precipitation value increasing in February and December under all three scenarios and the decreasing in the other months (Fig. 1). Research results on the temperature show that the mean annual temperature will increase up to 2.8 °C in the A1B scenario, by 1.2 °C in A2 scenario, and by 1.4 °C in B1 scenarios, compared to the baseline period (2013-1983) (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 1. A comparison of the observed mean monthly precipitation at Abali station to the LARS-WG-predicted values in the period 2046-2065.](image1)

![Fig. 2. A comparison of the observed mean monthly temperature at Abali station to the LARS-WG-predicted values in the period 2046-2065.](image2)

5. Conclusions

In the present study, we first tested the applicability of the LARS-WG model in downscaling daily precipitation and daily maximum (Tmax) and daily minimum (Tmin) temperatures in Damavand catchment and then used LARS-WG to downscale future changes of precipitation, Tmin, and Tmax from the HadCM3 outputs of SRA2, B1 and A1B scenario for the periods of 2011–2030 and 2046–2065. Due to limitations of data availability, Abali stations of precipitation and temperature data for the period of 1983 to 2013 were used for calibrating the downscaling model and for comparison with future scenarios. From the study, it is concluded that:

- The LARS-WG model produces excellent performance in downscaling Tmax and Tmin in the study region but compared to temperature, the model showed more error in downscaling daily precipitation. This issue also
confirmed by investigating on the functional parameters such as the coefficient of determination, mean of absolute error, root mean square error.

• The prediction results showed that the average annual precipitation in the 2065-2046 period in all three scenarios, namely A1B, A2 and B1, decreased up to 8%, 2% and 7% respectively, compared to the baseline (2013-1983).
• The mean annual temperature will increase up to 2.8 °C in the A1B scenario, by 1.2 °C in A2 scenario and by 1.4 °C in B1 scenarios.
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