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ABSTRACT

An approach to test the crisp statistical hypotheses upon the fuzzy test statistic is developed. The proposed approach is investigated for two cases: the case without nuisance parameter, and the case with a nuisance parameter. For rejecting or accepting the null hypothesis of interest, an evidential point of view is proposed, based on a concept called degree of consistency of observations with the hypothesis. The approach is applied to test the parameters of the normal, Bernoulli, Poisson, and exponential distributions, as well as to test the difference of means and the ratio of variances of two normal distributions.
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1. Introduction

In classical statistics as well as in traditional probability all parameters of the underlying model, possible observations, and decision rules, should be well defined. Very often, such assumptions appear too rigid for real problems. To relax this rigidity, fuzzy methods are incorporated into probability and statistics. This paper regards the problem of testing statistical hypotheses using a fuzzy-based approach.

The problem of testing hypotheses in fuzzy environment has been studied by some authors. Here, there is a brief review of literatures in this topic. Casals and Gil [7], Son et al. [21], Taheri and Behboodian [24], and Torabi et al. [28] considered the Neyman-Pearson type testing hypotheses when the available data and/or the hypotheses are fuzzy rather than crisp. Arnold [3] proposed the fuzzification of usual statistical hypotheses and considered the hypotheses test under fuzzy constraints on the type I and II errors. Körner [13] presented an asymptotic test for expectation of random fuzzy variables. Montenegro et al. [14] considered some two-

*Corresponding author: Arefi@math.iut.ac.ir; Arefi@birjand.ac.ir
sample hypothesis tests for means concerning the fuzzy random variables in two populations. Taheri and Behboodian [25, 26], using a Bayesian approach, considered and analyzed the problem of testing fuzzy hypotheses, with crisp and also with fuzzy data. Kahraman et al. [11] presented some algorithms to fuzzy parametric and nonparametric tests based on fuzzy data. Filzmoser and Viertl [9], and Parchami et al. [17, 18] studied some p-value-based approaches to the problem of testing hypothesis in fuzzy environments. Wu and Chang [31] investigated a class of fuzzy statistical decision process in which testing hypothesis can be formulated in terms of interval-valued statistics. Torabi and Behboodian [27] studied the likelihood ratio method for testing fuzzy hypotheses, and Najafi et al. [15] investigated such method for testing crisp hypotheses based on fuzzy data. Niwitpong et al. [16] investigated a method of testing hypotheses with interval data. Taheri and Arefi [23] studied a new approach for testing fuzzy hypotheses based on fuzzy test statistic. A bootstrap approach for testing statistical hypotheses about variance of fuzzy random variable is introduced by Akbari et al. [1]. Recently, Arefi and Taheri [2] introduced a procedure to test the fuzzy hypotheses based on a fuzzy test statistic when the available data are fuzzy. For more studies about fuzzy statistics and non-precise (fuzzy) data see Taheri [22] and Viertl [29, 30].

In this paper, we extend, and in some sense we modify, the method proposed by Buckley [5] for testing statistical hypotheses. To do this, we put ourselves in the framework of the pivotal approach to construct a fuzzy test statistic. In this context, we provide a triplet procedure for testing hypotheses of interest.

It should be mentioned that, concerning the works by Arefi and Taheri [2], Buckley [5], and Taheri and Arefi [23], the present work has some advantages as follows:

(I) The Buckley’s method is improved and simplified by removing the fuzzy critical region from the procedure of testing, to achieve a more simply procedure.

(II) The cases of existence the nuisance parameter are considered in the present work.

(III) The method of evaluating the hypotheses of interest are performed based on the evidential statistics [19, 20], by introducing a new concept called degree of consistency (DC).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some preliminary concepts about fuzzy numbers and interval arithmetic. In Section 3, a general method for testing parametric hypotheses based on fuzzy test statistic, when the underlying model has no any nuisance parameter, is investigated. In this section, we apply the proposed method to test the parameter of a normal distribution (mean and variance), the parameter of a Bernoulli distribution, the mean of a Poisson distribution, the mean of an exponential distribution, as well as to test the difference of means of two normal distributions (known variances), and finally to test the ratio of variances of two normal distributions. In Section 4, we focus on the
case in which there exists a nuisance parameter in the underlying model. In this section, we apply the proposed method, to test the mean of a normal distribution with unknown variance and also to test the difference between means of two normal distributions with unknown (but equal) variances. Finally, in Section 5 a brief conclusion is provided.

2. Preliminary concepts

In this section, we provide some preliminary concepts and some notations about fuzzy numbers and interval arithmetic. For details, the reader is referred to standard texts, e.g., Buckley [6] and Klir and Yuan [12].

We place a “tilde” over a symbol to denote a fuzzy set. So, \( \tilde{A} : X \to [0, 1] \) represents the membership function of the fuzzy set \( A \). An \( \alpha \)-cut of \( A \), written \( \tilde{A}[\alpha] = \tilde{A}_\alpha \), is defined as \( \tilde{A}[\alpha] = \{ x | \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha \} \), for \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \). A fuzzy number \( \tilde{N} \) is a fuzzy subset of the real numbers satisfying:

(i) \( \tilde{N}(x) = 1 \) for some \( x \),

(ii) \( \tilde{N}[\alpha] \) is a closed bounded interval for \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \).

A triangular fuzzy number \( \tilde{T} \), denoted by \( \tilde{T} = (a_1/a_2/a_3) \), is defined as

\[
\tilde{T}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t-a_1}{a_2-a_1} & a_1 < t \leq a_2, \\ \frac{t-a_2}{a_3-a_2} & a_2 < t \leq a_3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Let \( I = [a, b] \) and \( J = [c, d] \) be two closed intervals. Then, based on the interval arithmetic, we have

\[
I + J = [a + c, b + d], \\
I - J = [a - d, b - c], \\
I.J = [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \quad \alpha_1 = \min\{ac, ad, bc, bd\}, \quad \beta_1 = \max\{ac, ad, bc, bd\}, \\
I \div J = [\alpha_2, \beta_2], \quad \alpha_2 = \min\{\frac{a}{c}, \frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{c}, \frac{b}{d}\}, \quad \beta_2 = \max\{\frac{a}{c}, \frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{c}, \frac{b}{d}\},
\]

where zero does not belong to \( J = [c, d] \) in the last case.
3. Testing statistical hypotheses based on fuzzy test statistic (one parameter case)

3.1 Statement of the method

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ be a random sample of size $n$, from a probability density function (or probability mass function) $f(x; \theta)$, with observed values $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$, where the parameter of interest $\theta$ is unknown. We want to test the following hypotheses

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \theta = \theta_0, \\ H_1 : \theta > \theta_0, \end{cases}$$

Let $\hat{\theta} = u(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a point estimation for the parameter $\theta$. Suppose that the function $Q(\theta, \hat{\theta})$ of parameter $\theta$ and $\hat{\theta}$ is a pivotal quantity. The usual decision rule for testing the above hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta$, is

$$\begin{cases} Q_0 \geq Q_{1-\beta} \Rightarrow R \bar{H}_0 \text{ (Reject } H_0), \\ Q_0 < Q_{1-\beta} \Rightarrow A \bar{H}_0 \text{ (Accept } H_0), \end{cases}$$

where, $Q_0$ is the crisp test statistic (under $H_0$), and $Q_{1-\beta}$ is the $(1-\beta)$-quantile of the $Q_0$.

Now, we state a modification of Buckley’s approach [5, 6] for testing the above hypotheses in which we emphasize on the role of the pivotal quantity (see also, Falsafain and Taheri [8] for an improved method for the fuzzy estimation of parameters in the Buckley’s approach).

(i) First, we find a confidence interval for $\theta$, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, based on the pivotal quantity $Q(\theta, \hat{\theta})$, for all $0.01 \leq \alpha < 1$. This confidence interval is considered to be the $\alpha$-cuts of a triangular shaped fuzzy number, $\tilde{X} [\alpha]$ (the so-called fuzzy estimation of the parameter of interest).

(ii) By substituting the bounds of confidence interval $\tilde{X} [\alpha]$ instead of $\hat{\theta}$ in the crisp test statistic ($Q_0$) and using the interval arithmetic, we obtain the $\alpha$-cuts of the so-called fuzzy test statistic $\tilde{Z}$.

Now, we introduce an approach for evaluating the statistical hypotheses (1), based on fuzzy test statistic and the following triplet procedure (see Figure 1).

(a) We calculate the total area under the graph of $\tilde{Z}$, denoted by $A_\rho$.

(b) We obtain the area under the graph of $\tilde{Z}$, but to the right of the vertical line through $Q_{1-\beta}$, denoted by $A_\kappa$. 
Finally, the hypotheses of interest are evaluated by two indices: degree of consistency $DC = \frac{AR}{AT}$ that measure the consistency of data with the hypothesis $H_0$, and degree of inconsistency $DI = 1 - \frac{AR}{AT} = 1 - DC$ that measure the inconsistency of data with the hypothesis $H_0$ (the consistency of data with the hypothesis $H_1$).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** The fuzzy test statistic and $AR$ in testing hypotheses (1).

**Remark 1** We can apply the above procedure for testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$ (see Figure 2), and for testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ (see Figure 3) in a similar way.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** $H_1 : \theta < \theta_0$.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ ($AR = AR_1 + AR_2$).

### 3.2 Testing hypothesis for the mean of a normal distribution with known variance

Based on a random sample of size $n$ from $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ ($\sigma^2$ known), we want to test the hypotheses (1), at the significance level $\beta$. Under $H_0$, the crisp test statistic $Z_0 = \frac{\bar{X} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$ is distributed by the standard normal distribution, with the observed value $z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$. The usual confidence interval for $\theta$, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, is
By substituting the bounds of the confidence interval instead of $\bar{x}$ in $z_0$, and using the interval arithmetic, the $\alpha$-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic are obtained as

$$
\tilde{Z}[\alpha] = \left[ \frac{\bar{x} - z_{1-\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}, \frac{\bar{x} + z_{1-\alpha/2}\sigma/\sqrt{n} - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right] = [z_0 - z_{1-\alpha/2}, z_0 + z_{1-\alpha/2}].
$$

Now, using the above fuzzy test statistic, we can apply the triplet procedure for evaluating the hypotheses (1).

**Example 1** Suppose that, based on a random sample of size $n = 100$ from $N(\theta, \sigma^2 = 4)$, we obtain $\bar{x} = 1.08$. Now, we want to test the following statistical hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta = 0.05$,

$$
\begin{cases}
H_0 : \theta = 1, \\
H_1 : \theta > 1.
\end{cases}
$$

Here, $z_0 = 0.40$, so that we can calculate $A_R$ and $A_T$ in the following way

$$
A_R = \int_{z_0}^{z_{1-\alpha/2}} \Phi(x)dx = \int_{1.645}^{2.975} \Phi(x)dx = 0.1023,
$$

$$
A_T = 2 \int_{z_0}^{z_{1-\alpha/2}} \Phi(x)dx = 2 \int_{0.400}^{2.975} \Phi(x)dx = 1.5958,
$$

where $\Phi(x) = 2(1 - F_x(x - z_0))$ and $F_x$ is CDF of the standard normal distribution (we use MATLAB software for calculations). Hence, the degree of consistency of data with the hypothesis $H_0$ is equal to $DC = \frac{A_R}{A_T} = 0.0641$ (see Figure 4).
3.3 Testing hypothesis for variance of a normal distribution

Suppose that, we have taken a random sample of size $n$ from $N(\mu, \theta)$, and we want to test the hypotheses (1), at the significance level $\beta$. The pivotal quantity based on the estimator $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ is $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\theta_0}$. Under $H_0$, the crisp test statistic $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\theta_0}$ is distributed according to $\chi^2_{n-1}$. Under $H_0$, the observed value of $Q_0 = \frac{(n-1)\theta^*}{\theta_0} = (n-1)s^2$ is its observed value. The related confidence interval for $\theta$, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, is

$$\bar{X}[\alpha] = \left[ \frac{(n-1)\theta^*}{\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}}, \frac{(n-1)\theta^*}{\chi^2_{n-1,\alpha/2}} \right].$$

Substituting the bounds of $\bar{X}[\alpha]$ instead of $\theta^*$ in $Q_0$, and using the interval arithmetic, the $\alpha$-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic are calculated as

$$\tilde{Z}[\alpha] = \frac{(n-1)\bar{X}[\alpha]}{\theta_0} = \left[ \frac{(n-1)Q_0}{\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}}, \frac{(n-1)Q_0}{\chi^2_{n-1,\alpha/2}} \right].$$

Now, based on the above fuzzy test statistic, we can evaluate the hypotheses (1) by the proposed triplet procedure.

**Example 2** Suppose that, based on a random sample of size $n = 75$ from $N(\mu, \theta)$, we observe $s^2 = 2.2635$. We want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta = 0.05$,
In this case, we can calculate $A_R$ and $A_T$ in the following way ($Q_0 = 83.7495$)

$$A_R = \int_{\chi_n^{2-1,0.5}}^{\chi_n^{2-1,0.995}} \Phi^*(x)dx = \int_{95.0812}^{133.5172} \Phi(x)dx = 5.2559,$$

$$A_T = \int_{\chi_n^{2-1,0.5}}^{\chi_n^{2-1,0.005}} \Phi(x)dx + \int_{\chi_n^{2-1,0.995}}^{\chi_n^{2-1,0.005}} \Phi(x)dx = 9.7842 + 12.9166 = 22.7008,$$

where $\Phi^*(x) = 2(1 - F_X(\frac{(n-1)Q_0}{x}))$, $\Phi(x) = 2F_X(\frac{(n-1)Q_0}{x})$ and $F_X$ is the CDF of Chi-square distribution with $n - 1$ degree of freedom. Hence, the degree of consistency of data with the hypothesis $H_0$ is equal to $DC = \frac{A_R}{A_T} = 0.2315$ (also, the degree of inconsistency is $DI = 1 - DC = 0.7685$; see Figure 5).

**Figure 5.** The fuzzy test statistic and $A_R$ in Example 2.
3.4 Testing hypothesis for the proportion of a Bernoulli distribution

Assume that, based on a random sample of size \( n \) from \( \text{Bernoulli}(\theta) \), we want to test the hypotheses (1). The usual point estimation for \( \theta \) is \( \hat{\theta} = \bar{x} \). Under \( H_0 \), for large \( n \), the crisp test statistic \( \frac{\bar{X} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\theta_0(1-\theta_0)/n}} \) is distributed approximately as \( N(0, 1) \). The confidence interval for \( \theta \), at the confidence level \( 1 - \alpha \), is

\[
\hat{X}[\alpha] = \left[ \hat{\theta} - z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})/n}, \hat{\theta} + z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})/n} \right].
\]

Substituting the bounds of \( \hat{X}[\alpha] \) instead of \( \hat{\theta} \) in \( z_0 = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\theta_0(1-\theta_0)/n}} \), and using the interval arithmetic, we derive the \( \alpha \)-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic as

\[
\hat{Z}[\alpha] = \frac{\hat{X}[\alpha] - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\theta_0(1-\theta_0)/n}} \in \left[ z_0 - z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})/\theta_0(1-\theta_0)}, z_0 + z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})/\theta_0(1-\theta_0)} \right].
\]

Now, we can test the hypotheses (1) by the proposed triplet procedure.

**Example 3** Suppose that, based on a random sample of size \( n = 100 \) from \( \text{Bernoulli}(\theta) \), we obtain \( \hat{\theta} = \bar{x} = 0.54 \). We want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level \( \beta = 0.05 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
H_0 & : \theta = 0.5, \\
H_1 & : \theta > 0.5.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \( A_R \) and \( A_T \) are obtained as \( (z_0 = 0.80) \)

\[
A_R = \int_{z_1-\beta}^{z_0+z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})/\theta_0(1-\theta_0)}} \Phi(x)dx = \int_{1.645}^{3.368} \Phi(x)dx = 0.2202,
\]

\[
A_T = 2\int_{z_0}^{z_0+z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\hat{\theta}(1-\hat{\theta})/\theta_0(1-\theta_0)}} \Phi(x)dx = 2\int_{0.800}^{3.368} \Phi(x)dx = 1.5906,
\]

\]
where \( \Phi(x) = 2(1 - F_Z((x - z_0) \sqrt{\frac{\theta_0(1 - \theta_0)}{\theta(1 - \theta)}})) \) and \( F_Z \) is the CDF of standard normal distribution. Since \( \frac{AR}{AR_T} = 0.1384 \), the degree of consistency of data with \( H_0 \) is \( DC = 0.1384 \) (see Figure 6).

![Figure 6. The fuzzy test statistic and \( A_R \) in Example 3.](image)

3.5 Testing hypothesis for mean of a Poisson distribution

Assume that, based on a random sample of size \( n \) from \( \text{Poisson}(\theta) \), we want to test the hypotheses (1). The usual point estimation for \( \theta \) is \( \hat{\theta} = \bar{x} \). Under \( H_0 \), for sufficiently large \( n \), the crisp test statistic \( Z_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\theta_0/n}} \) is distributed approximately as \( N(0, 1) \). By solving the quadratic equation \( (\bar{x} - \theta)^2 = \frac{\theta}{n} Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 \) in terms of \( \theta \), the Score confidence interval [4] for \( \theta \), at the confidence level \( 1 - \alpha \), is obtained as

\[
\tilde{X}[\alpha] = \left[ \bar{x} + \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2}}{2n} \left( Z_{1-\alpha/2} - \sqrt{Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 + 4n\bar{x}} \right), \bar{x} + \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2}}{2n} \left( Z_{1-\alpha/2} + \sqrt{Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 + 4n\bar{x}} \right) \right].
\]

Substituting the bounds of \( \tilde{X}[\alpha] \) instead of \( \hat{\theta} \) in \( z_0 = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\theta_0/n}} \), and using the interval arithmetic, the \( \alpha \)-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic are obtained as follows, by which we can test the hypotheses of interest.
Example 4  Suppose that, we have taken a random sample of size $n = 100$ from \( \text{Poisson}(\theta) \), and we obtain $\bar{x} = 2.03$. Now, we want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta = 0.05$,

\[
\begin{cases}
    H_0 : \theta = 2, \\
    H_1 : \theta > 2.
\end{cases}
\]

In this case, the upper bound of the related \( \tilde{Z}[\alpha] \) is \( z_0 = 0.2121 \)

\[
x = z_0 + \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n\theta_0}} \left( z_{1-\alpha/2} + 2n\bar{x} \right) = 0.2121 + \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{20\sqrt{2}} \left( z_{1-\alpha/2} + 2n\bar{x} + 812 \right).
\]

But, we can not analytically solve the above equation, in terms of $\alpha$. Using a numerical method, e.g., the trapezoidal rule [10], we obtain $A_T = 1.6097$ and $A_R = 0.0919$. Hence, the degree of consistency of data with the hypothesis $H_0$ is $DC = \frac{A_R}{A_T} = 0.0571$, and the degree of inconsistency is $DI = 0.9429$ (see Figure 7).

Figure 7. The fuzzy test statistic and $A_R$ in Example 4.
3.6 Testing hypothesis for mean of an exponential distribution

Suppose that, based on a random sample of size \( n \) from \( \text{Exp}(\theta) \) with the following density

\[
f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta}, \quad x > 0, \quad \theta > 0,
\]

we want to test the hypotheses (1). The usual point estimation for \( \theta \) is \( \bar{x} \). Under \( H_0 \), the crisp test statistic \( \frac{2n\bar{X}}{\hat{\theta}_0} \) is distributed as \( \chi^2_{2n} \). The confidence interval for \( \theta \), at the confidence level \( 1 - \alpha \), is

\[
\bar{X}[\alpha] = \left[ \frac{2n\hat{\theta}^*}{\chi^2_{2n,1-\alpha/2}}, \frac{2n\hat{\theta}^*}{\chi^2_{2n,\alpha/2}} \right].
\]

Substituting the bounds of \( \bar{X}[\alpha] \) instead of \( \hat{\theta}^* \) in \( Q_0 = \frac{2n\theta^*}{\hat{\theta}_0} \), and using the interval arithmetic, the \( \alpha \)-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic are calculated as

\[
\bar{Z}[\alpha] = \frac{2n\bar{X}[\alpha]}{\hat{\theta}_0} = \left[ \frac{2nQ_0}{\chi^2_{2n,1-\alpha/2}}, \frac{2nQ_0}{\chi^2_{2n,\alpha/2}} \right].
\]

Now, based on the above fuzzy test statistic, we can evaluate the hypotheses of interest by the proposed triplet procedure.

**Example 5** Suppose that, based on a random sample of size \( n = 50 \) from \( \text{Exp}(\theta) \), we obtain \( \bar{x} = 2.33 \). We want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level \( \beta = 0.05 \),

\[
\begin{cases} 
H_0 : \theta = 2, \\
H_1 : \theta > 2.
\end{cases}
\]

Here, \( Q_0 = 116.5 \), so that we can calculate \( A_R \) and \( A_T \) in the following way

\[
A_R = \int_{\chi^2_{2n,1-\beta}}^{\chi^2_{2n,0.005}} \Phi(x)dx = \int_{\chi^2_{2n,1-0.005}}^{\chi^2_{2n,1-0.005}} \Phi(x)dx = 9.0576,
\]

\[
A_T = \int_{\chi^2_{2n,1-\beta}}^{\chi^2_{2n,0.005}} \Phi(x)dx = \int_{\chi^2_{2n,1-0.005}}^{\chi^2_{2n,1-0.005}} \Phi(x)dx = 9.0576.
\]
where $\Phi(x) = 2(1 - F_X(\frac{2nQ_0}{x}))$, $\Phi^*(x) = 2F_X(\frac{2nQ_0}{x})$, and $F_X$ is the CDF of Chi-square distribution with $2n$ degree of freedom. Hence, the degree of consistency of data with the null hypothesis is $DC = \frac{A_T}{A_R} = 0.3025$, and the degree of inconsistency of data with $H_0$ is $DI = 1 - DC = 0.6975$ (see Figure 8).

![Figure 8. The fuzzy test statistic and $A_R$ in Example 5.](image)

**3.7 Testing hypothesis for difference between means of two normal distributions with known variances**

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{n_1}$ and $Y_1, \ldots, Y_{n_2}$ be two independent random samples of sizes $n_1$ and $n_2$ from $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, respectively (with $\sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_2^2$ known). Now, we want to test the following hypotheses

$$
\begin{align*}
H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 &= \theta_0, \\
H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 &> \theta_0.
\end{align*}
$$

The usual point estimation for $\theta = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ is $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x} - \bar{y}$. Under $H_0$, the crisp test statistic is distributed as
The confidence interval for $\theta$, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, is

$$
\hat{X}[\alpha] = \left[ \theta^* - z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}, \theta^* + z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}} \right].
$$

Substituting the bounds of $\hat{X}[\alpha]$ instead of $\theta^*$ in $z_0 = \frac{\theta^* - \theta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$, and using the interval arithmetic, the $\alpha$-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic are obtained as follows, by which we can evaluate the hypotheses of interest

$$
\hat{Z}[\alpha] = \frac{\hat{X}[\alpha] - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} = [z_0 - z_{1-\alpha/2}, z_0 + z_{1-\alpha/2}].
$$

**Example 6** Suppose that based on two independent random samples from $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2 = 9)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2 = 4)$, we obtain the following results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>121</th>
<th>$\bar{x} = 7.27$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n_2$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>$\bar{y} = 4.76$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now, assume that we wish to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta = 0.05$,

$$
\begin{align*}
H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 &= 2, \\
H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 &> 2.
\end{align*}
$$

The $A_R$ and $A_F$ are obtained as follows ($z_0 = 1.508$)
where $\Phi(x) = 2(1 - F_Z(x - z_0))$ and $F_Z$ is the CDF of standard normal distribution. Hence, the degree of consistency of data with $H_0$ is $DC = \frac{A_R}{A_T} = 0.4189$, and the degree of inconsistency of data with $H_0$ is $DI = 1 - DC = 0.5811$ (see Figure 9).

![Figure 9. The fuzzy test statistic and $A_R$ in Example 6.](image)

### 3.8 Testing hypothesis for $\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2}$ of two normal distributions

Let $X_1, ..., X_{n_1}$ and $Y_1, ..., Y_{n_2}$ be two independent random samples from $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, respectively. Now, we want to test the following hypotheses

$$
\begin{align*}
H_0 &: \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} = \theta_0, \\
H_1 &: \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} > \theta_0.
\end{align*}
$$
The usual point estimation for $\theta = \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2}$ is $\hat{\theta} = \frac{s_2^2}{s_1^2} = \frac{1}{n_1-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 / \frac{1}{n_2-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (y_j - \bar{y})^2$. Under $H_0$, the crisp test statistic is distributed as

$$\frac{S_2^2/\sigma_2^2}{S_1^2/\sigma_1^2} = \frac{S_2^2/\sigma_2^2}{S_1^2/\sigma_1^2} \sim F_{n_2-1,n_1-1}.$$

The related confidence interval for $\theta$, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, is

$$\hat{\theta} = \left[ \theta^* F_{n_2-1,n_1-1,\alpha/2}, \theta^* F_{n_2-1,n_1-1,1-\alpha/2} \right].$$

Substituting the bounds of $\hat{\theta}$ in $Q_0 = \frac{\theta_0}{\theta}$, and using the interval arithmetic, we derive the $\alpha$-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic as

$$\tilde{Z}[\alpha] = \left[ \frac{Q_0}{F_{n_2-1,n_1-1,1-\alpha/2}} - \frac{Q_0}{F_{n_2-1,n_1-1,1-\alpha/2}} \right] = \left[ Q_0 F_{n_1-1,n_2-1,\alpha/2}; Q_0 F_{n_1-1,n_2-1,1-\alpha/2} \right].$$

Now, based on the above fuzzy test statistic, we can evaluate the hypotheses of interest by the proposed triplet procedure.

**Example 7** Assume that based on two independent random samples from $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$, we obtain the following results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$n_1 = 121$</th>
<th>$\bar{x} = 6.19$</th>
<th>$s_1^2 = 89.47$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n_2 = 101$</td>
<td>$\bar{y} = 5.07$</td>
<td>$s_2^2 = 23.02$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta = 0.05$,

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} = 2, \\ H_1 : \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} > 2. \end{cases}$$

Here, $Q_0 = 0.51$ and so

$$Q_0 F_{n_1-1,n_2-1,\alpha/2} = 0.51 \quad F_{120,100,0.995} = 0.8423 < 1.3685 = F_{100,120,0.95} = F_{n_1-1,n_2-1,1-\beta}.$$
We obtain $A_R = 0$, which is indicated that the data are completely inconsistent with $H_0$ (and are completely consistent with $H_1$; see Figure 10).

![Figure 10. The fuzzy test statistic and $A_R$ in Example 7.](image)

4. Testing statistical hypotheses based on fuzzy test statistic in models with nuisance parameter

4.1 Statement of the method

Let $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ be a random sample of size $n$, from a population with the probability density function (or probability mass function) $f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)$, with observed values $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$, where $\theta_1$ is an unknown original parameter and $\theta_2$ is an unknown nuisance parameter. Now, consider the null hypothesis as follows

$$H_0 : \theta_1 = \theta_{1}^*,$$

where, $\theta_{1}^*$ is a known constant. Let \(\hat{\theta}_1\) and \(\hat{\theta}_2\) be the point estimations for \(\theta_1\) and \(\theta_2\), respectively. Suppose that the functions $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are two pivotal quantities for parameters $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$.

An approach to test the above hypotheses based on the fuzzy-based approach is introduced in the following way:

(i) First, we find the confidence intervals, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, based on pivotal quantities $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ for $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ with $0.01 \leq \alpha < 1$. These confidence intervals are considered to be the $\alpha$-cuts of two triangular shaped fuzzy numbers $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$.  


By substituting the bounds of the confidence intervals $X[\alpha]$ and $\Sigma[\alpha]$ instead of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$, respectively, in the crisp test statistic $Q_0$ and using the interval arithmetic, we obtain the $\alpha$-cuts of the so-called fuzzy test statistic $\tilde{Z}$.

Now, based on fuzzy test statistic, the statistical hypothesis $H_0$ is evaluated by the following triplet procedure.

(a) We calculate the total area under the graph of $\tilde{Z}$, denoted by $A_T$.

(b) For the alternative $H_1: \theta_1 > \theta_1^*$, we obtain the area under the graph of $\tilde{Z}$, but to the right of the vertical line through $Q_{1-\beta}$, denoted by $A_R$. For the alternative $H_1: \theta_1 < \theta_1^*$, we obtain the area under the graph of $\tilde{Z}$, but to the left of the vertical line through $Q_{\beta}$, denoted by $A_L$. For the alternative $H_1: \theta_1 \neq \theta_1^*$, we obtain the area under the graph of $\tilde{Z}$, but to the right of the vertical line through $Q_{1-\beta/2}$ and to the left of the vertical line through $Q_{\beta/2}$, denoted by $A_{RL}$.

(c) Finally, the hypotheses of interest are evaluated by two indices: degree of consistency $DC = \frac{A_R}{A_T}$ that measure the consistency of data with the hypothesis $H_0$, and degree of inconsistency $DI = 1 - \frac{A_R}{A_T} = 1 - DC$ that measure the inconsistency of data with the hypothesis $H_0$ (the consistency of data with the hypothesis $H_1$).

4.2 Testing hypothesis for mean of a normal distribution with unknown variance

Suppose that, based on a random sample of size $n$ from $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ($\sigma^2$ unknown), we want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta$

\[
\begin{align*}
H_0 : \mu &= \mu_0, \\
H_1 : \mu &\neq \mu_0.
\end{align*}
\]

The usual point estimations for $\mu$ and $\sigma^2$ are $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$ and $\hat{\sigma^2} = s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$, respectively. The pivotal quantities for $\mu$ and $\sigma^2$ are $Q_1 = \frac{X - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}$ and $Q_2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}$.

Under $H_0$, the crisp test statistic is distributed as

\[
\frac{X - \mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}.
\]

The confidence intervals for $\mu$ and $\sigma^2$, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, are
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\( \mu : \quad \tilde{X}[\alpha] = \left[ \bar{x} - t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x} + t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right], \)

\( \sigma^2 : \quad \tilde{\Sigma}[\alpha] = \left[ \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}}, \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}} \right]. \)

By substituting the bounds of \( \tilde{X}[\alpha] \) and \( \tilde{\Sigma}[\alpha] \) instead of \( \hat{\mu} = \bar{x} \) and \( \hat{\sigma}^2 = s^2 \) in \( t_0 = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} \), and using the interval arithmetic, the \( \alpha \)-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic is obtained as

\[ \tilde{Z}[\alpha] = \frac{\tilde{X}[\alpha] - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\tilde{\Sigma}[\alpha]/n}} = [\Pi_1(t_0 - t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}), \Pi_2(t_0 + t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2})], \]

where \( \Pi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}}{n-1}} \) and \( \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}}{n-1}}. \) Now, we can employ the proposed triplet procedure for testing the hypotheses of interest.

**Example 8** Suppose that based on a random sample of size \( n = 101 \) from \( N(\mu, \sigma^2) \), we obtain \( \bar{x} = 3.94 \) and \( s^2 = 1.47 \). We want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level \( \beta = 0.10 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
H_0 : & \quad \mu = 4, \\
H_1 : & \quad \mu > 4.
\end{align*}
\]

In this case, we can’t solve the equation \( x = \Pi_2(t_0 + t_{n-1,1-\alpha/2}) \) in terms of \( \alpha \), analytically (here \( t_0 = -0.4973 \)). But, using the trapezoidal rule [10], we obtain \( A_T = 1.5492 \) and \( A_R = 0.0639 + 0.0272 = 0.0911 \). Hence, the degree of consistency of data with \( H_0 \) is \( DC = \frac{A_R}{A_T} = 0.0588 \), and the degree of inconsistency of data with \( H_0 \) is \( DI = 1 - DC = 0.9412 \) (see Figure II).
Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) and \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_n \) be two independent random samples from \( N(\mu_1, \sigma^2) \) and \( N(\mu_2, \sigma^2) \), respectively (where \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) and \( \sigma^2 \) are unknown). We want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level \( \beta \):

\[
\begin{align*}
H_0 & : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \delta_0, \\
H_1 & : \mu_1 - \mu_2 > \delta_0.
\end{align*}
\]

The usual point estimations for \( \theta_1 = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \) and \( \theta_2 = \sigma^2 \) are \( \hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{x} - \bar{y} \) and \( \hat{\theta}_2 = s^2 = \frac{(n_1-1)s^2_1 + (n_2-1)s^2_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \), respectively. The pivotal quantities for \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) are

\[
Q_1 = s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - \delta_0}{\sigma^2} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = \frac{n_1 + n_2 - 2}{2\sigma^2} s^2_p,
\]

respectively. Under \( H_0 \), the crisp test statistic is distributed as

\[
\frac{(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}) - \delta_0}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \sim t_{n_1+n_2-2}.
\]
The related confidence interval for $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$, at the confidence level $1 - \alpha$, are as follows:

\[
\theta_1 : \quad \hat{X}[\alpha] = \left(\bar{x} - \bar{y} - t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,1 - \alpha/2}s_p\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}, \bar{x} - \bar{y} + t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,1 - \alpha/2}s_p\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}\right)
\]

\[
\theta_2 : \quad \hat{\Sigma}[\alpha] = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)s_p^2}{\chi^2_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,1 - \alpha/2}}\left[1 - \frac{\chi^2_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,2\alpha/2}}{\chi^2_{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}\right].
\]

By substituting the bounds of $\hat{X}[\alpha]$ and $\hat{\Sigma}[\alpha]$ instead of $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ in $t_0 = \frac{\hat{\theta}_1 - \delta_0}{\hat{\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}}$, and using the interval arithmetic, the $\alpha$-cuts of the fuzzy test statistic are obtained as

\[
\tilde{Z}[\alpha] = \frac{\hat{X}[\alpha] - \delta_0}{\sqrt{\hat{\Sigma}[\alpha]\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}} = \left[\Pi_1(t_0 - t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,1 - \alpha/2}), \Pi_2(t_0 + t_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,1 - \alpha/2})\right],
\]

where $\Pi_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,2\alpha/2}}{\chi^2_{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}}$ and $\Pi_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2_{n_1 + n_2 - 2,1 - \alpha/2}}{\chi^2_{n_1 + n_2 - 2}}}$. Finally, the hypotheses of interest could be evaluated by the triplet procedure.

**Example 9** Based on two independent random samples from $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$ and $N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$, the following results are obtained:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
<th>$\bar{x}$</th>
<th>$s_1^2$</th>
<th>$\bar{y}$</th>
<th>$s_2^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suppose that, we want to test the following hypotheses, at the significance level $\beta = 0.05$,

\[
\begin{align*}
H_0 &: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 2.5, \\
H_1 &: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 2.5.
\end{align*}
\]

Here, $t_0 = 0.54$, and using the trapezoidal rule [10], we obtain $A_T = 1.6464$ and $A_R = 0.1895$. Hence, the degree of consistency of data with $H_0$ is $DC = \frac{A_R}{A_T} = 0.1151$, and also, the degree of inconsistency of data with $H_0$ is $DI = 1 - DC = 0.8849$ (see Figure 12).
5. Conclusion

The problem of testing crisp statistical hypotheses was investigated, using a fuzzy-based approach. The proposed approach has some advantages regards to more simplicity (with respect to some other approaches) and because of considering the case with nuisance parameter. Another performance of the introduced approach is that it proposed an evidential inference for evaluating the hypotheses of interest. Contrary to the classical approach (in which the decision to accept or reject a null hypothesis depends just on the significance level), the proposed procedure is based on two criteria: a significance level and a degree of consistency.

Further research may be concerned with extending the results to test the fuzzy hypotheses based on fuzzy data. In addition, employing the proposed approach in a Bayesian framework may be a suitable topic for more research.
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