Seroprevalence of bovine leptospiral antibodies by microscopic agglutination test in Southeast of Iran
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Objective: To evaluate serological findings of bovine leptospirosis which is a zoonotic disease with worldwide distribution caused by Leptospira interrogans.

Methods: One hundred and sixty seven sera were collected from 9 commercial dairy herds in jiroft suburbs, from July to October 2011. Microscopic agglutination test (MAT) was used to evaluates serological findings of bovine leptospirosis in Jiroft suburb dairy farms, Kerman province, Iran.

Results: Antibodies were found by MAT at least against one serovar of Leptospira interrogans in 29 samples (17.36%) among 167 sera at a dilution 1:100 or higher, and Leptospira pomona was the most prevalent serovar. Positive titers against more than one serovar were detected in 6 sera of the positive samples.

Conclusion: This study is the first report of leptospirosis in Southeast Iran and showed that Leptospira pomona was the most and Leptospira icterohaemorrhagiae the least prevalent serovars in Southeast Iran.
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1. Introduction

Leptospirosis is the most common bacterial zoonosis worldwide, caused by spirochetes of the genus Leptospira. There are 20 species of leptospires, consisting of over 200 serovars, circulating in a wide range of animal reservoir hosts including rats, other rodents, livestock and domestic pets[1]. Leptospira interrogans (L. interrogans) constitutes the major pathogenic leptospiral species that is responsible for human infection. L. interrogans can readily penetrate abraded skin and mucous membrane barriers to establish a systemic infection via haematogenous dissemination and subsequently colonizes multiple organs, particularly the kidneys and liver. While wild rodents serve as natural reservoirs, humans and a few other domesticated animals are accidental hosts in the transmission cycle of leptospirosis[2-3]. In rural areas, transmission is usually associated with farming and livestock, with increased risk during the warm and rainy months. In urban areas, infection is associated with overcrowding, poor hygiene standards, inadequate sanitation and poverty, all of which typically occur in urban slums in developing countries.
In developed countries, infection is now increasingly being associated with outdoor recreational exposure and international travel[4].

Suitability of the environment for the survival of leptospires appears to be a critical factor in maintaining the infection and transmission to humans. Leptospires have good affinity to areas where heavy rainfall results in water logging of the land. Human populations residing in such environment are at higher risk of acquiring leptospiral infection[5].

A basic knowledge of serovars and their maintenance hosts is required to understand the epidemiology of leptospirosis in a region. Though distinct variations in maintenance hosts and the serovars they carry can occur throughout the world. The general pattern is for serogroups Hardjo bovis, Pomona, and Grippotyphosa to be recoverable from cattle[6].

The diagnosis of leptospirosis is based on two principles which include the actual isolation of the leptospiral organisms and the detection of anti–leptospiral antibodies. Isolation by culture is very time-consuming and depends on the presence of live leptospira and their ability to grow on media provided, thus serological testing is a more widely used method. The detection of anti–leptospiral antibodies can be done using tests such as the microscopic agglutination test (MAT) and enzyme–linked immunosorbent assay[7]. The MAT is the gold standard test for diagnosing leptospirosis and is the most widely used method for detecting both leptospira IgG and IgM antibodies in animal sera. The sensitivity and specificity of the MAT reported in a recent study were 91.94% and 73.77%, respectively[8]. This test can be used qualitatively and quantitatively to detect the infecting serovar and titer (World Health Organization), but it requires the propagation of live leptospiral strains to be used as antigens for a hazardous and time-consuming process in which the interpretation of the results can be subjective[9].

To the authors’ knowledge, there is no report of leptospirosis in Southeast Iran; therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the presence of anti–leptospira antibodies among dairy cattle farms by MAT, using five current reference strains of L. interrogans in Jiroft suburb dairy farms, Kerman province, Southeast Iran.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample taking

A total of 167 sera were collected from 9 commercial dairy herds in Jiroft suburbs, from July to October 2011. Sera were separated after centrifugation at 3 000 g for 10 min at room temperature and kept at −20 °C until required. These samples were submitted to the Leptospira Research Laboratory of Teaching and Research Hospital of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at the University of Tehran, Iran.

2.2. Microscopic agglutination test (MAT)

MAT was carried out as described by Turner (1968) with some modification in Leptospira Research Laboratory as follows: Five reference strains of L. interrogans which were used as antigen includes: Leptospira hardjo (L. hardjo), Leptospira pomona (L. pomona), Leptospira icterohaemorrhagiae (L. icterohaemorrhagiae), Leptospira grippotyphosa (L. grippotyphosa) and Leptospira canicola (L. canicola). All sera samples were serially diluted in phosphate buffer solution (PBS) in a microtiter plate (Greiner), starting from 1 in 50 dilution, using 2-fold dilution (1 in 100, 200 and 400). Then, 10 µL of serum dilution was added to 10 µL of appropriate antigen on a microscopic slide and incubated at 30 °C for 90 min. Finally the slide was examined under dark–field microscope (Olympus BX50). One antigen control and two (positive and negative) standard serum controls were used each time. Titers 1:100 or greater were considered positive. The end–point titer was determined as the highest serum dilution showing agglutination of at least 50% of the leptospires.

3. Results

Antibodies were found at least against one serovar of L. interrogans in 29 samples (17.36%) among 167 sera at a dilution 1:100 or higher. Positive titers against more than one serovar were detected in 6 samples (20.68%) of the 29 positive sera (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of serovars</th>
<th>Number of positive sera</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One serovar</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two serovars</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to Table 2, the highest prevalence of positive sera by MAT was found in farm 3 (4.79%), followed by farm 5 and 9 (3.59%), farm 4 and 7 (1.80%), farm 6 (1.20%) and farm 1 (0.6%), while no positive serum was find in farm 2 and 8.

| Number and frequency (%) of total and positive sera in each farm by MAT. |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Total serum samples Frequency (%) | Number | Positive sera Frequency (%) | Number |
| Farm 1             | 4   | 2.39  | 1 | 0.60 |
| Farm 2             | 11  | 6.59  | 0 | 0.00 |
| Farm 3             | 33  | 19.76 | 8 | 4.79 |
| Farm 4             | 16  | 9.58  | 3 | 1.80 |
| Farm 5             | 34  | 20.36 | 6 | 3.59 |
| Farm 6             | 8   | 4.79  | 2 | 1.20 |
| Farm 7             | 17  | 10.18 | 3 | 1.80 |
| Farm 8             | 4   | 2.39  | 0 | 0.00 |
| Farm 9             | 40  | 23.95 | 6 | 3.59 |
| Total              | 167 | 100.00| 29 | 17.36 |

Positive titers were detected against serovar L. pomona (16
sero), *L. grippotyphosa* (11 sera), *L. canicola* (6 samples), and *L. hardjo* (2 samples). There is no positive sample against *L. ichterohaemorrhagiae* (Table 3). Table 4 presents the number and frequency of each serovar, in different farms.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serovar</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>F (%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>L. pomona</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. grippotyphosa</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. canicola</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. ichterohaemorrhagiae</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serovar</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>L. pomona</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. grippotyphosa</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. canicola</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. ichterohaemorrhagiae</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Discussion

Different researchers have demonstrated the presence of antibodies against leptospira species and variations in clinical manifestations for the zoonoses in different regions of Iran[10–12].

Jiroft is located in a vast plain, Halil River, on the southern outskirts of the Jebal Barez mountain chain, surrounded by two rivers. The mean elevation of the city is about 650 m above sea level. The weather of the city is very warm and humid in summer and temperatures are moderate in winter. Heavy rainfall occurs in the Jiroft.

Heavy rainfall and flooding increase the risk of leptospirosis by bringing bacteria and their animal hosts into closer contact with humans. Numerous outbreaks of leptospirosis have been reported following extreme weather events around the world, in geographically diverse areas including India, Laos, Indonesia, Italy, Brazil, Guyana Nicaragua, Puerto Rico, the USA, New Caledonia and Australia[13].

The prevalence of leptospirosis in dairy farms by MAT in present study (17.36%) is lower than the previous studies. It may probably due to our samples, because serum samples were taken from full industrial and advanced dairy farms which managed under veterinary specialist surveillance, and it seems that there is high correlation between consideration to hygiene and prevalence of leptospirosis in dairy farms, in addition to this the rainfall rate has decreased in recent years.

Results of previous studies about prevalence of each serovar in Iran show that *L. hardjo* was the most (67.7%) and *L. ichterohaemorrhagiae* the least (0.8%) prevalent serovars in Tehran suburb, *L. grippotyphosa* was the most prevalent serovar in Urmia *L. canicola* was the most (39.9%) and *L. hardjo* the least (4.7%) prevalent serovars in Karaj suburb, *L. grippotyphosa* was the most prevalent serovar in Gilan province[10,11], *L. canicola* was the most and *L. grippotyphosa* the least prevalent serovars in Shiraz suburb[14], *L. canicola* was the most prevalent serovar in tribal area of west central of Iran[15], and finally *L. grippotyphosa* was the most and *L. ballum* the least prevalent serovars in Alvaz[16].

Durham and Paine believed that there is a significant difference between prevalence of *L. hardjo* and *L. pomona* in industrial and traditional herds[16]. They reported that prevalence of serum positive titer against *L. hardjo* is 7.27% and *L. pomona* is 16.13% in industrial dairy farms, while prevalence of serum positive titer against *L. hardjo* is 16.13% and *L. pomona* is 8.1% in traditional dairy farms[17]. Hajikolaei et al. (2005) believe that there is a significant difference in leptospirosis prevalence between industrial and traditional dairy farms, too[16].

The MAT has many disadvantages which indicate the need for an alternative test for routine diagnosis of leptospirosis. One major problem with the MAT is its use of live organisms as antigens. This requires the continuous culture and handling of these hazardous bacteria in laboratories and the subjective assessment of results can also make quality assurance of the MAT difficult. Another problem associated with the MAT is that it only detects agglutinating antibodies and, non-agglutinating antibodies may go undetected.

In this study the most prevalent (*L. pomona*) and the least prevalent (*L. ichterohaemorrhagiae*) serovars are different to previous studies. It may be depend on important items: there is a significant difference between prevalence of mentioned serovars in commercial and traditional herds, on the other hand, kind and prevalence of serovars change during the time in one area and between regions.
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Comments

Background

Leptospirosis is the most common bacterial zoonosis worldwide, caused by spirochetes of the genus Leptospira. There are 20 species of leptospires, consisting of over 200 serovars. In rural areas, transmission is usually associated with farming and livestock, and also in urban areas, infection is associated with overcrowding, poor hygiene standards, etc., especially in urban slums. Even in developed countries, infection is now increasingly being associated with outdoor recreational exposure and international travel. In this paper, serological findings of bovine leptospirosis were evaluated by MAT in Southeast Iran.

Research frontiers

The MAT was applied to detect the antibodies. This study was the first survey report in Southeast Iran, and the antibodies were detected by MAT at least against one serovar of L. interrogans in 29 sera (17.36%) among 167 samples, and L. pomona was the most prevalent serovar. And also, the authors determined the prevalence of human leptospiral infections by MAT.

Related reports

Previous study on leptospirosis prevalence in Iran showed 31% serum positive titer against L. interrogans in cattle and 17% in sheep (Maghami 1967), 24.6% in Tehran suburb dairy farms (Maghami, 1980), 3.0% to 30.7% in Tehran suburb (Moharrami et al. 1992), and 53.73% in Ahvaz suburb (Hajikolaei et al. 2005). The prevalence of serum positive samples in Jiroft suburb dairy farms by MAT in present study (17.36%) was lower than the previous studies. It seems that there is high correlation between consideration to hygiene and prevalence of leptospirosis in dairy farms, in addition to this the rainfall rate has decreased in recent years. In this study the most prevalent (L. pomona) and the least prevalent (L. icterohaemorrhagiae) serovar are different to previous studies. It may be depend on two factors: there is a significant difference between prevalence of serovars in industrial and traditional dairy farms, on the other hand, kind and prevalence of serovars change during the time in one area and between regions.

Innovations and breakthroughs

This study was the first report of leptospirosis in Southeast Iran and showed that L. pomona was the most and L. icterohaemorrhagiae the least prevalent serovars in Southeast Iran.

Applications

The MAT as one of serodiagnostic methods, is particularly useful in differentiation between infective serovars.

Peer review

This is a good epidemiological study in which the authors proved firstly the existence of leptospirosis in Southeast Iran by using MAT.
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